

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
HON. JENNIFER L. THURSTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,) 1:20-cr-00238-JLT-SKO
vs.) Jury Trial, Day 11
KENNETH BASH, et al.)
Defendants.) Volume 11
) Pgs. 2352 - 2559, inclusive
)

Fresno, California

Tuesday, February 4, 2025

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

REPORTED BY: RACHAEL LUNDY, CSR, RMR, Official Reporter

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

For the
Government:

STEPHANIE STOKMAN
Assistant U.S. Attorney
2500 Tulare Street, Rm. 4401
Fresno, California 93721

JARED ENGELKING
Department of Justice
1301 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

JAMES ROBERT CONOLLY, GOVT
U.S. Attorney's Office
501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

For Defendant
Johnson:

Law Offices of Andrea Luem
Attorneys at Law
400 South Forth Street, Suite 500
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
BY: **ANDREA LEE LEUM, ESQ.**

Law Offices of Ryan J. Villa
5501 Eagle Rock Avenue NE
Suite C2
Albuquerque, NM 87104
BY: **RYAN J. VILLA, ESQ.**

For Defendant
Clement:

Fisher & Byralsen, PLLC
Attorneys at Law
99 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016
BY: **JANE FISHER-BYRALSEN, PHV**

Ruhnke And Barrett
29 Broadway, Suite 1412
New York City, NY 10006
BY: **JEAN DE SALLES BARRETT, PHV**

For Defendant
Stinson:

Law Office of Kenneth Alan Reed
406 W 4th Street
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4505
BY: **KENNETH ALAN REED, ESQ.**

INDEXGOVERNMENT'S WITNESSES:

BRIAN JAMES RAPINOE	
DIRECT EXAMINATION RESUMED BY MR. ENGELKING	2380
MEGAN GARZA	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CONOLLY	2383
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. REED	2427
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT	2439
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. VILLA	2447
BRANDON BANNICK	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. STOKMAN	2464
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. VILLA	2526
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT	2535
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. STOKMAN	2546
RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. REED	2550

EXHIBITS

<u>GOVERNMENT'S</u>	<u>Received</u>
1417 to 1421	2398
1291	2479
1292	2480
1294	2483
1288, page 2	2494
1289, page 2	2499

DEFENDANT'S

1 Tuesday, February, 4, 2025 Fresno, California
2 8:00 a.m. Jury Trial Day 11

3 (The following proceedings were held in open court:)

4 THE COURT: Is everyone ready to begin? We're going
5 to start with the Juror 74 this morning in Seat 5. Let's go
6 ahead and bring him in.

7 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Your Honor, and once we're --
8 sorry. And once we're done with the jury, we have some legal
9 issues to raise with the Court.

10 THE COURT: All right.

11 (Juror 74 enters the courtroom.)

12 THE COURT: Good morning, sir. So we received an
13 email -- telephone voicemail from you yesterday. It sounds
14 like you've had some changes in your circumstances. Can you
15 tell me about what's going on?

16 JUROR 74: Yes, Your Honor. So basically, uh,
17 originally when I started this -- so I have a sibling who was
18 able to take care of my mother. So the problem was, like, a
19 while ago my mother broke her arm, sadly. And one of the
20 problems was at first she needs to be in a cast, but then
21 after she removed her cast, you know, that was ordered by the
22 doctor, she had muscle atrophy.

23 And the problem is that, uh, she basically only has
24 one arm, and the worst part is that the broken arm was her
25 primary arm. So she was only able to use her left arm

1 specifically. And what that basically means is that she could
2 no longer cook by herself. The pan is typically too heavy.
3 Anything is really too heavy, even something as basic as just
4 water. Like, even like a cup of water, we only at most fill
5 it up about 30 percent so it's not too heavy for her to pick
6 up, just to give you an idea of, like, how difficult it is to
7 work around the house.

8 She is a daycare provider, so one of the things she
9 does is cook for definitely a lot of children on top of that,
10 but she has somebody that's at the house because -- you know,
11 full of a lot of children, it tends to get pretty dirty. We
12 have to make sure it's a safe environment for the kids. So
13 because of that, she definitely needs me.

14 At first, I did try to work with my brother, you
15 know, wait for him so I could schedule, see if I can figure
16 something out, like maybe I could just be at the house early,
17 just cook food for her early.

18 But the problem's that -- we also just learned this
19 today as well, but she actually doesn't have a lot of things
20 other than food as well. So the idea of me being able to come
21 over here for even longer is basically impossible. There's
22 nothing I really could have done. We've already tried
23 discussions and nothing will work out pretty much.

24 THE COURT: Now, how long has the cast been off your
25 mom's arm?

1 JUROR 74: Roughly three to four weeks.

2 THE COURT: Is she getting therapy or something to
3 try to address that muscle atrophy?

4 JUROR 74: Yeah. She was getting physical therapy,
5 but the problem is it didn't help out a lot, like, there
6 hasn't been any progress at all. And it has become a concern.
7 We are seeing doctors' appointments to resolve it but nothing
8 is working.

9 THE COURT: Okay. And now you said your brother had
10 been helping. What's the change in his circumstances?

11 JUROR 74: So basically -- so he has a girlfriend,
12 basically. And she got him a job, and it was really a
13 good-paying job. And my twin has -- like, he has a ton of
14 debt, like thousands of dollars of debt. And he has to work
15 now, because collections is already collecting money from him.
16 And, uh, my family members that lives in the household, my dad
17 and my mother and my brother, they definitely don't make
18 enough income when it comes to managing his own debts, let
19 alone my parents' debts.

20 THE COURT: Now, before your mom broke her arm, was
21 she the only one who worked in the daycare in the home or did
22 she have other helpers?

23 JUROR 74: She was able to do it by herself, correct.

24 THE COURT: So it was just her? She did everything?

25 JUROR 74: Yes. Like, we did help out, but she could

1 definitely do it by herself back then, like, definitely.

2 THE COURT: How many children does she have in the
3 home?

4 JUROR 74: A lot. Like, probably roughly 12 to 15.

5 Because some of the kids sometimes don't show up because --

6 THE COURT: So doesn't she -- well, I don't know what
7 her license is, but usually 12 is the max for one person,
8 right, 12-to-1 ratio?

9 JUROR 74: Yes, but it's just that the family -- yes,
10 to answer your question. So we took care of kids, like, in
11 the past, so that's the model -- like, if we have extra space,
12 like, we'll be able to take care of more. But if we're
13 doing that, they're going to have to need me to be there. But
14 the vast majority of the time, it's 12 or less.

15 THE COURT: Okay. And do you normally work? I'm
16 sorry. I don't recall.

17 JUROR 74: No. No, I don't.

18 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm sorry, also I forgot, what
19 city do you live in?

20 JUROR 74: Lindsay.

21 THE COURT: So when you leave here in the afternoons
22 to get home, what time do you usually get there?

23 JUROR 74: Like, 2:50.

24 THE COURT: 2:15?

25 JUROR 74: 50, 50.

1 THE COURT: Close to three o'clock?

2 JUROR 74: Yeah. Yeah.

3 THE COURT: What time do those kids normally go home?

4 JUROR 74: So, uh, we don't know, like to answer your
5 question properly. But so they all, like, go to times, like,
6 differently, if that makes sense. Like, sometimes they leave
7 at 4:30; three of them leaves at, like, 7:00; and then, like,
8 most of them leaves by 5:00 p.m.

9 THE COURT: Is it true that your mom provides them
10 breakfast, lunch, and some of them dinner, even?

11 JUROR 74: Yes, definitely. That's what we do for
12 all of them as well. And some of them eats more than others,
13 so --

14 THE COURT: What time does your brother have to go to
15 work?

16 JUROR 74: He goes at work at roughly 7:30, yeah,
17 7:30.

18 THE COURT: And what time does he get home?

19 JUROR 74: So he is doing training right now, so it
20 goes -- so right now he goes home at 5:00 p.m. But he did
21 tell me that, like, uh, it could potentially change in three
22 weeks, you know, once his training is over. But it's probably
23 only going to be, like, an hour less, if anything, at most.

24 THE COURT: So maybe it would be more like 7:30 to
25 4:00 at the best?

1 JUROR 74: Yes.

2 THE COURT: Okay. And does he work every day?

3 JUROR 74: Uh, yes, Monday through Friday.

4 THE COURT: Now, your father, as I understand it, is
5 a truck driver, right?

6 JUROR 74: Yes, ma'am.

7 THE COURT: Now, tell me, does he come home every
8 night?

9 JUROR 74: Basically, no. He's gone for the majority
10 of the, like -- like, of the months, like, uh -- he is, like,
11 you can kind of imagine he's not there most of the time. He's
12 rarely there, that's the thing. He's basically always
13 working.

14 THE COURT: He's a long-haul trucker?

15 JUROR 74: Yes, ma'am.

16 THE COURT: Sometimes he's gone the week; sometimes
17 it is -- sometimes more than a week?

18 JUROR 74: Yes, definitely, yeah. There's been times
19 when he's been gone over four months.

20 THE COURT: Oh, okay.

21 JUROR 74: Yeah.

22 THE COURT: And you said in your home it's only you,
23 your brother, your mom, and your dad when he's there?

24 JUROR 74: I have pets too but --

25 THE COURT: We're not going to count that.

1 All right. Is there -- are there any other family
2 members that could help out at home until we know for sure
3 what your brother's work schedule is going to be?

4 JUROR 74: Sadly, no, because all of them, not only,
5 like, do their jobs that require them to be, like, longer, but
6 they live hours away, five hours away to be exact.

7 THE COURT: And you said that it's necessary for your
8 brother to work because of his debts, but does he also intend
9 to contribute to the family's household?

10 JUROR 74: Yes.

11 THE COURT: So you're saying that the mom -- your
12 mom's daycare and your dad's job just isn't enough to take
13 care of everyone?

14 JUROR 74: Normally it would. Like, if there was no
15 debts at all then, yes, it definitely would. But the problem
16 is that my twin went to AC SU, and that's got, like, the debt
17 was, like, pretty bad.

18 And then they bought a car. I did disagree with that
19 decision, buying a new car, honestly, but they did buy it and
20 now in debt because of it, and they have a house debt as well.

21 THE COURT: Your parents bought a car and a house, is
22 that who you're talking about?

23 JUROR 74: They bought a house, like, before I was
24 even born, but they haven't paid it off yet.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 JUROR 74: But --

2 THE COURT: So the cost of the house, I mean, that
3 seems that that's probably been the same since you -- they've
4 had the house for so long. And then they recently bought a
5 car, you said?

6 JUROR 74: Yes.

7 THE COURT: Okay. And then they are trying to help
8 your brother pay off his debt from schooling.

9 JUROR 74: Uh, I wouldn't say -- well, no on that
10 last part.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 JUROR 74: He's basically paying his own debt by
13 himself, but it's just that he is helping out my mother when
14 it comes to rent.

15 THE COURT: Okay. And so your brother's got the
16 school debt. Does he have other debt too?

17 JUROR 74: No, no.

18 THE COURT: All right. But it's gotten to the point
19 where he's going into collections as a result of not being
20 able to pay his school debt?

21 JUROR 74: Correct. And it does make him pretty
22 nervous. Sadly, this is a huge issue with my family, but all
23 of us have an issue with anxiety. Like, it's really badly, and
24 it's -- judging for my mom and my twin brother most notably,
25 they become extremely nervous, like extremely, extremely

1 nervous, and it does kind of like, you know, make me feel bad,
2 because, like, it really hurts them, like it genuinely does,
3 yeah.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 Ms. Stokman, do you have any comments -- or any
6 questions? I'm sorry.

7 MS. STOKMAN: I have no questions.

8 THE COURT: Mr. Reed, do you have questions?

9 MR. REED: No questions, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: For Mr. Clement, any questions?

11 MS. FISHER-BYRIALSEN: No, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: For Mr. Johnson?

13 MS. LUEM: No, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. If you'll
15 step back in the jury room, we'll get right back to you.

16 JUROR 74: Okay.

17 THE COURT: All right. Comments about
18 Juror Number 5, Ms. Stokman.

19 MS. STOKMAN: Yes. I think he's made out a hardship
20 due to the mother's health condition. She needs help, sounds
21 like, functioning throughout the day, let alone the other job
22 functions that she has to provide for the kids at the daycare.
23 But it sounds like, at the very least, she needs somebody with
24 her for her own care.

25 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Reed, do you have

1 comments?

2 MR. REED: Well, the trial doesn't have the same
3 estimate that it did when we were selecting him as a juror.
4 There's a pretty good chance the government will rest either
5 this week or sometime next week, but my gist of my listening
6 to him is that he doesn't want to be here. Just the way he --
7 I mean, everything had another reason. It was, you know, even
8 got down to the animals that he has.

9 So, you know, I'm -- I'll submit on the issue, but it
10 doesn't sound like he wants to be here. I don't necessarily
11 think it rises to the level considering where we are right now
12 but normally jurors that don't want to be here don't normally
13 vote for the defense. So that's my interpretation of that.

14 THE COURT: So your position is what then?

15 MR. REED: My position is, I don't think that it's --
16 I don't think it rises to the level of cause.

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. REED: And I don't think it rises to the level of
19 hardship, considering the amount of time the trial is, but I
20 am just me.

21 THE COURT: All right. For Mr. Clement, comments?

22 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: No, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: For Mr. Johnson?

24 MS. LUEM: Your Honor, we take the position that that
25 does not rise to a level of a hardship. It's -- this is all

1 stuff that's been going on. He's been able to deal with it
2 and his family. And I think based on the government's
3 representation that they expect to be done with their case
4 probably by the end of this week, I don't think it's going to
5 be a significant issue such --

6 THE COURT: Well, maybe if we bring him in and tell
7 him, because understanding that from the government, I still
8 don't understand how long the trial is going to be. So you
9 guys seem to have a better idea of that. How many more weeks
10 are we talking?

11 MS. LUEM: Well, it depends on the government. If
12 they are done this week --

13 THE COURT: Well, if they are done this week.

14 MS. LUEM: If they are done this week, then I expect
15 our case will take a couple of days, at most.

16 THE COURT: And for Mr. Clement, is that the -- are
17 you all saying that's the entire defense or is there --

18 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: That's the entire defense.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Reed, do you agree with that?

20 MR. REED: Yes.

21 THE COURT: All right. That seems to make a
22 difference. Let me see -- let's bring him back in and see if
23 he can make it work for -- in two weeks at the most and see.

24 (Juror 74 enters the courtroom.)

25 THE COURT: All right. So thank you for coming back

1 in. You know what, I've looked up and seen the jury, you look
2 to me like you have really been paying attention to what is
3 happening and engaged in the evidence. So I really would hate
4 to lose you as a juror.

5 Let me tell you this and see if it makes a
6 difference, it looks to me probably this trial will be done
7 next week. So that's much faster than we thought.

8 So my question to you is: Can you and your family
9 make it work for about two more weeks? And what I was
10 thinking is, I realize your mom needs help with a lot. Could
11 you do things like prep the meals in the morning, and it could
12 be reheated in the microwave? You know, do it at night, do
13 the cleaning at night, that kind of thing? Could you make it
14 work for two more weeks?

15 JUROR 74: I will do everything I can to be here. I
16 could definitely do that. I can figure this thing out.

17 THE COURT: Okay. And I would ask you not to share
18 anything we've discussed with the rest of the jurors. All
19 right?

20 JUROR 74: Okay.

21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.

22 JUROR 74: Uh, this is horrible timing, I do
23 apologize. I did told them, like they asked me why, like,
24 Well, was I -- I was, like, I was requesting. So like --

25 THE COURT: That's fine. I just mean, what I don't

1 want you to do is go back and say, Hey, we're going to be done
2 in two weeks, everybody. Yay.

3 JUROR 74: Okay.

4 THE COURT: Because things happen, I mean -- but
5 that's what I anticipate now. But if, for, example, I have a
6 heart attack this morning and I'm in the hospital, the trial
7 is going to go longer.

8 So, you know, I just don't want to make them think
9 that it's going to be -- until we -- until I have a better
10 handle on it. But that's my sense, is it's probably going to
11 be done by next week. Okay?

12 JUROR 74: You sure I'm not going to be questioned
13 right now, like, through that door?

14 THE COURT: You can just say, Hey, Judge Thurston is
15 mean and she says don't talk.

16 JUROR 74: I hear you. Okay.

17 THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir.

18 JUROR 74: I'm not saying, Your Honor, I'll be here
19 too.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you much.

21 (Juror 74 exits the courtroom.)

22 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Barrett, I think you had
23 some -- some issues?

24 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Yes, Your Honor, this is in
25 reference to Mr. Rapinoe. In reviewing, we have been provided

1 with grand jury transcripts from his testimony in the
2 Southern District of California in San Diego. And during the
3 course of his testimony --

4 Oh. I'm sorry?

5 THE COURT: Mr. Reed?

6 He can't -- she can't hear Ms. Barrett. Just, if you
7 could -- okay. Great. Okay.

8 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Do you need me to start again?
9 Yes.

10 During the course of his testimony in that -- in that
11 matter, he testified that he has a cooperation agreement with
12 the government. I emailed the government and the government's
13 position is because it's in a different district that they
14 don't have any obligation to provide us with that cooperation
15 agreement. I heartily disagree with that. The government is
16 the government.

17 THE COURT: Well, the government isn't just the
18 government but the DOJ is the DOJ.

19 Ms. Stokman, here's the trouble. I mean, if he's
20 testifying now in a cooperation agreement with that -- I'm
21 seeing you shake your head no, but the defense gets to verify.
22 I guess I'm having a hard time understanding why that's not.

23 MS. STOKMAN: Judge, that was a separate matter that
24 was investigated years ago, we were not a part of that
25 investigation or anything to do with his position with the

1 Southern District back then.

2 The cooperation agreement that he had back then with
3 the Southern District, it's my understanding that it's no
4 longer in existence but also that had nothing to do with this
5 case and this investigation.

6 We -- we had the transcripts of testimony because
7 while we were investigating charges for this indictment, we
8 were able to obtain those, but there's -- there was nothing
9 else that we were -- that we obtained from the
10 Southern District. And because we had possession of prior
11 statements that he had made, we handed those over in the
12 *Jencks* production.

13 So there's no cooperation agreement in this case.
14 That cooperation agreement from the Southern District has
15 nothing to do with his testimony here or his involvement in
16 the charges in this case.

17 And what we did have we turned over, and we turned
18 all of this over back in the initial November 1st, I think,
19 *Jencks* production. So this has been something that counsel
20 has been able to view and know about since then.

21 And so a last-minute request for this is also not an
22 appropriate time frame to be asking for this. But we don't
23 have that information. We were not part of any of those
24 agreements.

25 THE COURT: Did his testimony relate to the

1 Aryan Brotherhood?

2 MS. STOKMAN: Yes. Because that was a separate
3 investigation that Southern District was doing and they
4 actually never charged based upon the testimony they had in
5 the grand jury.

6 THE COURT: Ms. Barrett, your comments?

7 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Yes, Your Honor.

8 First of all, Mr. Rapinoe testifies before the grand
9 jury and is questioned about the actual tape-recorded
10 conversations with Mr. Clement. And he testifies about the
11 Aryan Brotherhood, he testifies about the structure, he
12 testifies about his prior conviction, and he testifies
13 specifically, "I am not being federally prosecuted."

14 At this point, he has already been charged with EDD
15 fraud and a whole host of other things. I believe he
16 testified here that that was his arrest in November of '20.

17 THE COURT: Can I just stop you one second?

18 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Yeah.

19 THE COURT: So he at that time had been charged with
20 the EDD fraud he's talking about in this case?

21 MS. STOKMAN: He -- at that time, he had been charged
22 with the EDD fraud on the state side that he -- he testified
23 to having a conviction on. It was the same EDD time frame
24 that the Southern District was looking at their case.

25 So that information, whatever they were -- whatever

1 they were promising him back then had nothing to do with the
2 case here he actually was convicted on the state side for that
3 EDD fraud.

4 And the -- it's not accurate that he spoke about any
5 recorded conversations that we've addressed in this trial
6 because those came after the fact. Those were not in
7 existence yet at the time of his testimony in the grand jury.

8 THE COURT: But what I hear Ms. Barrett saying is he
9 did testify as to statements, communications he had with
10 Mr. Clement.

11 MS. STOKMAN: Those have not been addressed here in
12 this -- in this testimony, and they weren't the recorded
13 statements that are at -- we've been playing here.

14 THE COURT: Right. I'm not suggesting that, but I am
15 suggesting he's got statements, apparently, that he's
16 attributing to Mr. Clement. It seems like that has some basis
17 for possible impeachment.

18 I guess I am troubled by the argument that because
19 you don't have it, it's not within your control. Because the
20 DOJ is the DOJ.

21 MS. STOKMAN: It's not exactly that easy, Judge, when
22 it comes from office to office. But I will say that we did
23 provide that grand jury transcript because we had it, and he
24 had testified in the grand jury. So if there were statements,
25 counsel obviously has those in order to use for impeachment

1 because he was under oath under that proceeding and made
2 statements in that. So --

3 THE COURT: Right. But what if he lied? You know,
4 what if the cooperation agreement says something that -- I
5 don't know what it says. I don't -- I don't know. But the
6 trouble is, the fact that he swore something previously, it
7 just means that.

8 Ms. Barrett, do you have additional comments?

9 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: No, Your Honor.

10 MS. LUEM: Your Honor, if I may add, Mr. Rapinoe on
11 the stand testified about EDD fraud that he was committing on
12 behalf of the Aryan Brotherhood, specifically Misfit or
13 Andrew Collins, and that seems to be the subject matter in all
14 of these prior transcripts. I mean, it goes exactly the same
15 issue.

16 THE COURT: Yeah. I think we all agree on that.
17 That's right.

18 MS. LUEM: Right. And he does state at one point
19 that he was cooperating with the both the state and federal
20 government, and it doesn't appear that he was never prosecuted
21 in relationship to anything to do with this case. Certainly,
22 there are references to recorded calls. So I think all of --
23 all of his cooperation agreements should be turned over.

24 In addition, Judge, we found out for the first time
25 while he was on the stand that he's a paid informant. The

1 government provided one -- one payment receipt from the ATF.
2 It's hard to imagine that's the only one that he received, but
3 I'll take their word for it if they say that's true.

4 We did not, however, receive his confidential
5 informant contract that he has to sign with the ATF when they
6 sign up an informant. It's standard practice, and we should
7 be entitled to see that, Judge, because he does have to make
8 certain promises in order to be used as a confidential human
9 informant, and we do not have that.

10 THE COURT: Is there a contract that ATF has with
11 him?

12 MS. STOKMAN: Judge, that contract -- yes. But it
13 exists under his CI file, which is not readily available.
14 There are steps that have to be taken: defense requests, the
15 file has to go through ATF counsel, and we have to arrange for
16 a certain procedure for defense counsel to view that. That
17 was never requested.

18 This isn't the first time that it's come up that he
19 was a paid informant. During the production of *Jencks*
20 material relating to this witness in November, the beginning
21 of November of 2024, there were ATF reports that indicated he
22 was a paid informant, and this is why those conversations
23 regarding the drug trafficking were being had, that they were
24 recorded.

25 And as this Court knows, counsel for Mr. Clement had

1 that information long before the *Jencks* production on
2 November 1st. So this information has been provided to
3 counsel for a very long time, and it's the first time that
4 we're being asked about his CI file. So at this point,
5 that -- that procedure is going to take some time, and it was
6 never requested of the government before.

7 THE COURT: What about the receipts? Was he paid
8 only one time, or was there more times?

9 MS. STOKMAN: Yes, one time.

10 MS. LUEM: And, Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Johnson,
11 we were not aware that he was a paid informant. He barely
12 even mentioned Mr. Johnson. In fact, I didn't even notice it
13 until when he was on the witness stand, so there was no reason
14 for us to go digging around to figure out whether he was or
15 was not.

16 But we are making that request now pursuant to
17 *Jencks*. He's on the witness stand, he's testified that he's a
18 paid informant, and we believe we're entitled to cross-examine
19 him about his agreement with the ATF. And if that takes the
20 government some time, then we'll have to just take the time.
21 But I don't see -- this is a confrontation issue that can't be
22 ignored, Judge.

23 THE COURT: What I hear you say, though, and what
24 Ms. Stokman is saying is that there was a report of some sort
25 that identifies him as an informant. What is -- do you have a

1 Bates number on that?

2 While you're looking for that, Mr. Reed, I think you
3 have something to say?

4 MR. REED: Yes.

5 THE COURT: Let's get that microphone now.

6 MR. REED: I did wonder about this over the weekend,
7 but not to the extent that either counsel have mentioned. But
8 I don't understand -- I mean, I've only been doing this for a
9 minute, but if you think that you're being prosecuted by the
10 state and the fed and you only end up with a state case, that
11 in itself -- there's a decision made that that happens. The
12 government doesn't just decide, Hey, I'm never going to
13 prosecute you, or they don't tell his lawyers that.

14 These are all things that every attorney knows.
15 Every defense attorney knows, if I get you a state case and no
16 fed case, there's an entirely different set of time that you
17 do, because 50 percent -- and especially in a case like this,
18 nobody got killed, nobody got GBI, so that, in and of itself,
19 is the reward, to not be federally prosecuted.

20 I wondered and, actually, was going to deal with some
21 cross-examination on this question with him. But this new
22 revelation to me, light bulb comes on. I don't know how the
23 government can take the position, how the US Attorney's Office
24 can take the position, or the DOJ take the position that,
25 because my cousin did it, you don't get to know. The witness

1 is on the stand in this trial.

2 He wasn't going to be on the stand in that trial.
3 But in this trial, he has testified to things that he talks
4 about, and we haven't gotten there yet, but this dude says he
5 defrauded \$750,000. How do you get to that number and not get
6 a federal case? There's some paper here that somebody doesn't
7 want to give somebody. I'm not blaming them. It's probably
8 not them. But unfortunately, she's the AUSA in the room and
9 she has the obligation.

10 But because, Judge, I'm telling you, I'm guaranteeing
11 you, unless you're telling me I can't, I read 750,000. He
12 says John Stinson is upset or these guys are upset about him
13 taking a thousand and somehow cheating them. What about the
14 thing that got you to 750-? If it's \$20,000 a piece, you
15 divide that by 750,000, that's a heck of a lot more than the
16 Aryan Brotherhood. How did this guy get to that number? Or
17 is he just jiving? If he's just jiving, then, okay, say that.
18 But I'm expecting that's not going to be the answer.

19 THE COURT: All right. As to the cooperation
20 agreement, Ms. Stokman, you're going to have to get somebody
21 on the phone. We need to get a copy of that.

22 MS. STOKMAN: Judge, I'm just going to point out
23 again that he's not testifying under that cooperation
24 agreement. The terms of that cooperation agreement would only
25 apply to the Southern District and not the Eastern District.

1 So in terms of using --

2 THE COURT: Maybe. I don't know --

3 MS. STOKMAN: -- that type of information --

4 THE COURT: -- because I haven't seen it. Because if
5 it says, in essence, more of an immunity for the -- this
6 issue, then it would apply, wouldn't it?

7 MS. STOKMAN: Not if this office has not agreed to
8 that. We have not entered into any agreement with him that he
9 won't be prosecuted and that he's under a cooperation
10 agreement to testify here.

11 THE COURT: Right. But I think you-all would have a
12 really hard time taking the position that immunity granted for
13 this act that he discussed in the grand jury and then
14 apparently is discussing here, also EDD fraud, that he doesn't
15 have immunity for that. But I don't know what it says. I
16 mean, if it doesn't say anything about that, then that's what
17 it is. But I don't think that defense should have to guess at
18 what it says.

19 On the other hand, as to that other issue, the
20 contract, it sounds like there was reason -- well, have you
21 located that Bates number?

22 MS. STOKMAN: I don't have a way to do that. I can
23 do that at a break, but I do not -- it was in the production
24 of Jencks material from November 1st.

25 MS. LUEM: And I don't know -- I can't seem to find

1 anything on that other than a report that references a CI but
2 not by name. So it's -- if they've got a Bates number for --
3 for a report that says Rapinoe, then I'd like to see that, but
4 I haven't --

5 THE COURT: Well, we'll get the Bates number on the
6 break. But if the defense hasn't had notice of it and hasn't
7 requested it, you can do that now. The government's now on
8 notice about that, but it's not going to stop us going
9 forward.

10 As to the cooperation agreement --

11 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Yeah, but that's --

12 THE COURT: -- Ms. Barrett, I'm going order that to
13 be produced, but what do you want to do? You want to move to
14 a different witness or what's your -- can the defense go
15 forward at this point?

16 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Your Honor, I believe that we
17 should be able to do our cross-examination once we receive
18 that document.

19 THE COURT: All right. Well, we can finish his
20 direct, then?

21 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Yes, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: To the extent anyone wants to take their
23 cross-examination -- cross-examination now, we can do that;
24 otherwise, he'll have to come back.

25 All right. Ms. Stokman, I don't know if you can get

1 someone in your office working on that so hopefully we won't
2 have a delay, but at this point, do you wish to call
3 Mr. Rapinoe back to the stand or do you want to move on?

4 MS. STOKMAN: We'd like to finish his direct
5 examination. He's -- he's here.

6 THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and do that,
7 then.

8 Let's -- bring him in, as well as the jurors, then.

9 MR. REED: Your Honor, can the defense attorneys
10 speak, because if he gets done and you ask, Do we have
11 questions, and we --

12 MS. STOKMAN: Judge, the jury is coming in.

13 THE COURT: Yeah.

14 If you want to take a minute and talk, you can still
15 do that.

16 (Jury enters the courtroom at 8:36 a.m.)

17 THE COURT: All right. We have -- oops.

18 All right. Thank you. We have all of our jury
19 members back in their places.

20 Let's go ahead and recall the witness to the stand.

21 **BRIAN JAMES RAPINOE**,
22 called as a witness on behalf of the Government, having been
23 previously sworn, testified as follows:

24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

25 MR. ENGELKING: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION RESUMED

2 BY MR. ENGELKING:

3 Q. Just a reminder that you're still under oath. Okay?

4 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

5 Q. When we paused last week, we were listening to some phone
6 calls that you had recorded where you testified that you were
7 arranging a methamphetamine deal with Frank. Do you remember
8 that?

9 A. I do.

10 Q. And you had also testified that Frank was using a --
11 Kenwood's middleman. Do you remember that?

12 A. I do.

13 Q. Okay. Did you know the name of the middleman?

14 A. Uh, I believe it was Mike.

15 Q. Had you met Mike before?

16 A. Only through phone conversations.

17 Q. And was this drug deal ultimately successful?

18 A. No, it was not.

19 Q. And why not?

20 A. The deal, Mike never get the product, couldn't get it
21 delivered to me. There was a mix-up in communication, and I
22 was told the deal was not going to go through.

23 Q. Did you try to meet up with Mike?

24 A. I did.

25 Q. How many times?

1 A. Uh, around -- all -- all day for about a six, seven-hour
2 period of time.

3 Q. And where was -- where was this? Where did you try to
4 meet him?

5 A. In LA.

6 Q. And this was with ATF, right?

7 A. It was.

8 Q. Just for a moment, I'd like to jump back to the EDD
9 applications that you had testified about. You had said you
10 were using that Marina address, right?

11 A. I was.

12 Q. And how many -- did you have multiple mailboxes that were
13 using that address?

14 A. I did.

15 Q. And was that 108 and 109?

16 A. Yes.

17 MR. ENGELKING: Okay. Can we pull up Exhibit 1806.
18 And this has already been admitted.

19 (Audio played in open court.)

20 BY MR. ENGELKING:

21 Q. So do you remember this call?

22 A. I do.

23 Q. And was this when you were trying to arrange that drug
24 deal that we just discussed?

25 A. It was.

1 Q. Are you being paid by the government to testify today?

2 A. Uh, no.

3 Q. What, if any, concerns do you have about testifying today?

4 A. I fear for my life, the life of my family, uh, but I no
5 longer want to be a part of anything I used to be a part of.
6 I don't believe in any of it anymore, so I'll have to live
7 with the decisions that I've made.

8 MR. ENGELKING: No further questions, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Counsel, do you wish to reserve your
10 cross-examination at this time?

11 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: We'll reserve at this time,
12 Your Honor.

13 MR. REED: Yes, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: And?

15 MS. LUEM: Yes, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. You can step
17 down at this time.

18 All right. Next witness, please.

19 MS. STOKMAN: Government calls Megan Garza.

20 THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.

21 MEGAN GARZA,

22 called as a witness on behalf of the Government, having been
23 first duly sworn, testified as follows:

24 THE WITNESS: I do.

25 THE CLERK: Go ahead, have a seat and please state

1 your full name for the record and spell your last name.

2 THE WITNESS: Megan Garza. Last name is G-a-r-z-a.

3 THE CLERK: Thank you.

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. CONOLLY:

6 Q. Good morning, Ms. Garza. Can you tell us, please, where
7 you work?

8 A. Yes. I work for the California Employment and Development
9 Department.

10 Q. And what is your current position there?

11 A. I'm a criminal investigator.

12 Q. How long have you been doing that?

13 A. Two years.

14 Q. And before working with EDD, what -- where were you
15 working?

16 A. Prior to that, I worked for seven years for the
17 California Department of Insurance. And prior to that, from
18 2006 to 2016, I worked for the Reedley Police Department as a
19 patrol officer and a detective.

20 Q. And a what?

21 A. And a detective.

22 Q. And when you worked for the California Department of
23 Insurance what was your -- what was your job there?

24 A. I was a detective there as well.

25 Q. And you can pull that microphone closer to you, if that's

1 easier.

2 So you mentioned that you are a criminal investigator
3 within EDD. What are your main responsibilities in that job?
4 What are your main duties?

5 A. We investigate various programs of unemployment,
6 disability, and employer tax insurance fraud.

7 Q. And the fraud, that applies to all three of those -- or
8 sorry, all of those categories?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And for unemployment fraud, just briefly, how do people do
11 that?

12 A. Uh, there's various ways of doing that. Uh, often we can
13 investigate either a single person, who sometimes lie about
14 being employed and then being unemployed to collect
15 unemployment benefits. And then often we see cases that are
16 referred to as imposter fraud where one person or various
17 people can obtain various social security numbers in different
18 ways and file unemployment claims through the EDD under
19 multiple social security numbers that have been obtained in
20 various different ways.

21 Q. And sometimes do people claim that they are unemployed for
22 reasons that might not be true?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And how would that work?

25 A. Some will say that they were employed by a certain

1 employer that they maybe were not employed with at all. So
2 sometimes that -- the fraud will lie in that. Sometimes they
3 will say that they have had a certain job duty or job
4 description that they did not have.

5 Oftentimes, prior to COVID, independent contractors
6 were not able to file for unemployment, but after COVID
7 happened, they were able to.

8 Oftentimes we'll see just several claims filed at one
9 time with inaccurate information as to who the employer is,
10 who the job is, who the claimant is.

11 Q. And if -- if a person is simply not working, does that --
12 is that, just by itself, qualify as being unemployed for the
13 purpose of benefits?

14 A. No. So to obtain benefits, you have to meet some
15 stipulations to receive the benefits. You have to have the
16 ability to work, to go to an everyday job. If you are
17 unemployed legitimately, you have to be looking for a job, and
18 you have to be -- you have to accept a job if one comes up, if
19 you're offered a job.

20 Q. So presumably, you would have to be available --

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. -- to work?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. And so typically, what information does a person, just in
25 brief, have to submit to EDD to file for unemployment

1 benefits?

2 A. Uh, there's an initial application that can be done
3 online, or it can be mailed in. It's referred to as a
4 DE 2501, but it's basically an initial application that's
5 submitted.

6 Most of time now they're done online, and then it
7 goes through a claims process once it's submitted.

8 Q. And would that include some basic personal identifying
9 information?

10 A. Yes. A name, a birthday, and social security number.

11 Q. Does EDD also ask for a mailing address?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Does EDD --

14 As part of that initial process?

15 A. Yes. Because they -- the benefits that they receive have
16 to go to a certain address for them to receive them.

17 Q. Does EDD typically verify those mailing addresses?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Now, you mentioned that you were a criminal investigator.

20 And how do cases come to you or to your department
21 for investigation?

22 A. Uh, they can come in a couple different ways. The most
23 common way is through -- somebody that works in claims can see
24 a pattern or some type of element of fraud, and they will
25 refer it to a supervisor that supervises the criminal

1 investigations unit. So it goes through like a queue process
2 of supervisors so it can be issued to an investigator that
3 way. And then oftentimes various other law enforcement
4 agencies will call and explain some elements of fraud that
5 they believe could be happening. And so oftentimes we'll open
6 a joint investigation with that agency to work the case that
7 way.

8 Q. And you said the cases get referred to an investigator.
9 Is that an investigator like yourself?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. So when you receive a referral like this, what do you do
12 to investigate the possibility of a fraudulent claim?

13 A. Uh, it depends on the type of investigation. Oftentimes,
14 if we have several different fraudulent claims that we're
15 looking at, we have a unit that can provide address runs on a
16 particular address. They can provide runs on a particular
17 social security number, a particular name, a particular
18 employer. So we request those runs for records and then begin
19 the investigation based on what's returned to us.

20 Q. Can you explain, please, what a run is?

21 A. Yes. So, like, the most common run that we would do --
22 sometimes we do, like, a doctor run if we suspect a doctor is
23 involved in some type of fraud. And the run is basically a
24 records check of how many claims have been filed, whether --
25 to that doctor.

1 If we do an address run, it can be a run on one
2 particular address to see how many claims have been filed at
3 that particular address.

4 Q. So is it fair to say that that's a search that is run
5 through EDD databases?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. So you would be searching for a particular address and
8 seeing how often it had come up or where it had come up?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. Can -- now, are prison inmates eligible to receive
11 unemployment benefits?

12 A. No, because they are unable to accept any work.

13 Q. And at some point -- at some point in this case, was an
14 investigation referred to you for potential EDD unemployment
15 fraud?

16 A. Yes, by my supervisor.

17 Q. Okay. And what was your understanding of what you were to
18 investigate in this case?

19 A. Initially, I was told to focus on particular addresses of
20 where the records checks or the runs, the address runs, had
21 already been done, and there were some red flags about two
22 particular addresses, that several claims had gone to these
23 two particular addresses. And so I was told to focus on those
24 addresses to begin with.

25 Q. And do you recall what those addresses were?

1 A. Yes. I remember it was on Morena Boulevard, Spaces 108
2 and 109 in San Diego. I don't remember the address numbers.

3 Q. Okay. Would that be 1395 Morena Boulevard?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. And you said Spaces 108 and 109.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. What does that indicate to you?

8 A. A mobile home community.

9 Q. And did you end up investigating claims related to those
10 addresses?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And what steps did you -- what were your first steps that
13 you took, to the extent you haven't already discussed them?

14 A. Just to have -- to have the run -- the runs or the records
15 checks done on those particular two spaces to see how many
16 claims came back to those particular two spaces.

17 The reason that we were looking at them was because
18 typically you average in one particular home, uh -- I mean,
19 average would be two to maybe ten adults, at the most, that
20 live at the residence.

21 Between those two spaces, there were 34 claims filed
22 total, which is a large number of claims to go to one address.
23 Because typically you wouldn't find that that many adults live
24 at one -- one or two locations, even.

25 Q. And so who did you ask to do this run on these addresses?

1 A. We have what's called a CIU unit.

2 Q. What's that?

3 A. I don't recall what it stands for, but they are basically
4 responsible for our records checks that we request.

5 Q. And when you request them, they then gather up documents
6 and provide them to you?

7 A. Yes. There's -- there's those, and then there's also the
8 unemployment division that we can go through. And they can --
9 they can -- they can provide the address runs, and then they
10 also provide all the documents pertaining to each claim. So
11 we'll receive a copy of the claim, any notes that are attached
12 to the claim based on any activity that has occurred during
13 the course of the claim.

14 Q. What sort of activity?

15 A. If the claimant has possibly called into EDD and spoken
16 with someone in claims or an analyst, sometimes that person
17 will note that in the notes. And so they provide us with
18 those notes so that we can see what's been going on during the
19 course of the claim.

20 Q. And what other documents would you be provided with?

21 A. We would receive the application. There are forms --
22 there's certification forms that the claimant has to supply to
23 EDD every two weeks. And basically, they have to certify --
24 if they're receiving unemployment benefits, they have to
25 certify every two weeks with EDD that they are still not

1 working and still -- and still eligible to receive those
2 benefits. So they have to report if they are working, if they
3 have looked for work, if they've accepted work or not, and if
4 they have, how many hours have they worked and who the
5 employer is.

6 Q. Do you also receive documentation related to address
7 changes?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. What does that look like?

10 A. Those would be part of the notes that I referred to. And
11 so if a claimant were to call into EDD and change their
12 address, it would reflect on those notes.

13 Q. Okay. So you mentioned that you found 34 claims related
14 to these two addresses.

15 Did you have a chance to review the names on those
16 claims?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And on that list of people, did you see the name
19 John Stinson?

20 A. Yes.

21 MR. REED: Objection. Hearsay.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 BY MR. CONOLLY:

24 Q. And how much had -- how much did the claims indicate
25 Mr. Stinson had been paid?

1 A. Uh, approximately 19,000.

2 MR. REED: Objection. Actually, I'll deal with it on
3 cross. I apologize, Your Honor.

4 BY MR. CONOLLY:

5 Q. And on those -- the claim -- in the claims that you
6 reviewed, did you see the name Francis Clement?

7 A. Yes.

8 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection. Your Honor, may we
9 approach? We had this conversation before.

10 THE COURT: Right. I think it is a different area.

11 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Yes, Your Honor, but --

12 THE COURT: I understand what you're raising. The
13 objection is overruled.

14 Go ahead.

15 BY MR. CONOLLY:

16 Q. And how much had Mr. Clement been paid in benefits?

17 A. He did not receive any benefits.

18 Q. Okay. And did you see the name Brian Rapinoe?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And how much had he been paid?

21 A. Approximately 38,000.

22 Q. And, sorry, you mentioned a zero dollar amount paid to
23 Mr. Clement. Are all claims filed paid?

24 A. No. They'll go through a claims process, and in that
25 claims process, they'll determine whether the claimant is

1 eligible or not to receive benefits.

2 Q. And just to clarify, these were three of the names that
3 returned to the addresses Morena Boulevard, Number 108 and
4 109?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Okay. But you said there were 34 names to those
7 addresses, so there were approximately 30-some other names; is
8 that fair to say?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. And when you did that run of claims, you mentioned
11 that you received documents in response --

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. -- from other departments within EDD?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And those are documents on which you typically rely when
16 you're doing your investigation?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And in -- you had a chance to review those documents as
19 you were doing your investigation, correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. If I could have you turn in the binders -- I
22 believe it's Binder 2 of 2. If you can please turn to
23 Tab 1417.

24 A. Okay.

25 Q. And if you could look at Tabs -- the documents behind

1 Tabs 1417 through 1421, please.

2 A. Did you want me to look at all or go one by one?

3 Q. If you could take a quick look at all five of those
4 documents, please.

5 A. Okay.

6 Q. And do you recognize these documents?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And are they documents that you received in the course of
9 your investigation?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And are they accurate copies of those documents, as best
12 you see them?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. And did you -- do you alter these documents in any
15 way after you receive them?

16 A. No.

17 MR. CONOLLY: Okay. Your Honor, at this time I would
18 move to admit Exhibits 1417 through 1421 and to have
19 permission to publish them to the jury.

20 THE COURT: Objections?

21 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection, Your Honor, to 1417
22 through -19.

23 THE COURT: Ms. Luem, any objections?

24 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: There's no supporting that the
25 named person --

1 THE COURT: I think we're going to talk about that in
2 just a second.

3 Ms. Luem, do you have comments?

4 MR. VILLA: We join, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: All right. Mr. --

6 MR. REED: Join, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, let's talk about
8 this at sidebar.

9 MR. CONOLLY: Okay.

10 (Sidebar commences.)

11 THE COURT: All right. So as I understand the state
12 of affairs, Mr. Rapinoe has said he used this address -- these
13 space numbers to submit these EDD claims with names provided
14 by, at least, Misfit.

15 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Yes, uh-huh.

16 THE COURT: Uh, so these documents are not offered
17 for the truth; is that true?

18 MR. CONOLLY: That -- that is correct, Your Honor.
19 As far as the information that the user has inputted. In this
20 case here, these documents are business records, which have
21 been adopted by the entity in the normal course of their
22 investigation.

23 She, as a custodian of these documents, is saying,
24 This is what the documents looked like as I received them.

25 THE COURT: All right. Comments.

1 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Yes, Your Honor. First of
2 all, the documents are being offered for the truth of the
3 document itself. And the name on the -- of the individual, of
4 Mr. Clement, on this document is false. He never filed it.
5 There's no evidence that he filed it. In fact, his name is
6 spelled wrong on the document. So we object to these
7 documents being produced. There's no reason for producing
8 them. She's already testified to the procedures and to how
9 it's done. And, you know, so we object to having documents
10 with Mr. Clement's name on them.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Villa, you objected as well. I don't
12 know what your standing is, but I want to hear.

13 MR. VILLA: I just -- I join Ms. Barrett in the same
14 reason, Your Honor, and I think there's -- well, whether or
15 not it's a business record, there's hearsay information that's
16 put on that this witness cannot authenticate or justify.

17 THE COURT: Again, as I understand it, the documents,
18 business records, the contents, are not offered for the truth
19 because we know there's no dispute. None of these people here
20 filled these documents out. We know, at least from
21 Mr. Rapinoe's testimony, that he did it. And so I guess I'm
22 still troubled by what the basis is.

23 Mr. Reed, do you have comments?

24 MR. REED: No. I -- if you're going to make standing
25 the issue, I don't have standing --

1 THE COURT: I'm not going to do that.

2 MR. REED: -- I don't have a standing.

3 THE COURT: I mean, there's still a Count 1 issue
4 because --

5 MR. REED: Right.

6 THE COURT: So to that the extent, anybody can say
7 whatever they want.

8 MR. REED: I don't know that I would appreciate the
9 custodian of records. It appears, though, this is something
10 that was sent to her and she's relying on it.

11 MR. CONOLLY: Well, she is indeed relying upon it.
12 She becomes the custodian of the investigation records, but in
13 addition to that, she's getting it from what she understands
14 to be a reliable custodian within the department. We believe
15 that is sufficient.

16 MR. REED: These are not the investigation records.
17 These are the records that instigated the investigation. The
18 investigation records, we do not have.

19 MR. CONOLLY: They -- they become the -- but they've
20 been incorporated into her investigation. She said she
21 reviewed them as part of that investigation.

22 MR. REED: Okay. All right. But we do not have the
23 investigation records. You would agree with that, right? We
24 don't have a report written by her, right?

25 MR. CONOLLY: I'm not aware of a report.

1 MR. REED: We'll find out in five minutes when I ask
2 her, but we don't have one.

3 MR. CONOLLY: All right.

4 THE COURT: All right. At this time, the objection
5 is overruled.

6 (Sidebar ends.)

7 THE COURT: So 1417 to 1421 will be admitted.

8 (Government's Exhibits 1417 to 1421 were received.)

9 MR. CONOLLY: I'm sorry, just to clarify, that was
10 1417 through 1421?

11 THE COURT: Correct.

12 MR. CONOLLY: Thank you.

13 Could we have Exhibit 1417 up on the screen, please.

14 And if we could zoom in on the text part of the
15 document, please.

16 BY MR. CONOLLY:

17 Q. Can you tell us, please, what we're looking at?

18 A. Is this -- this would be one of the two-week certification
19 forms that was completed. Appears to be handwritten, so it
20 was likely mailed in. And these are completed by each
21 claimant, and they have to -- they have to provide answers to
22 the Questions 1 through 6 on the --

23 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection, Your Honor, to
24 "completed by each claimant."

25 THE COURT: Will you clean that up, Mr. Conolly.

1 The objection is sustained.

2 MR. CONOLLY: Certainly.

3 BY MR. CONOLLY:

4 Q. Is it the understanding -- sorry. Is it your
5 understanding and from language on this form that it is
6 supposed to be the claimant who is filling out this form?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And the signature there at the bottom is intended to
9 indicate that it is, in fact, the claimant filling out the
10 form?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Thank you.

13 With this form in --

14 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection.

15 THE COURT: One second, Mr. Conolly.

16 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection, Your Honor.

17 Misrepresentation of the facts.

18 THE COURT: I think we need another question on this,
19 Mr. Conolly. I agree.

20 BY MR. CONOLLY:

21 Q. It is your understanding from this form that, by its
22 language, it indicates that the claimant is supposed to be the
23 one filling out the form; is that correct?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And could you read for us, please, the paragraph just

1 above the signature at the bottom?

2 A. It states:

3 "I understand the questions on this form. I know the
4 law provides penalties if I make false statements or
5 withhold facts to receive benefits. My answers are
6 true and correct. I declare under penalty of perjury
7 that I am a US citizen or national or an alien in
8 satisfactory immigration status and permitted to work
9 by USCIS. I signed this form after the latest date
10 for I which am claiming benefits."

11 Q. And is it --

12 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection, Your Honor. It
13 still doesn't clarify the issue.

14 THE COURT: Right. I think we're going to go to
15 another question.

16 BY MR. CONOLLY:

17 Q. But you yourself don't know who is actually filling out
18 the form, correct?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. And the -- in a form like this, would you have -- or in
21 the file that this certification would be in, have you matched
22 the name with a social security number?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay.

25 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: The legal grounds?

2 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Pardon me, Your Honor?

3 THE COURT: What are the legal grounds?

4 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: It's still misrepresentation
5 of the fact here.

6 THE COURT: Which you can bring up on
7 cross-examination.

8 Overruled.

9 Go ahead.

10 BY MR. CONOLLY:

11 Q. In your experience with EDD fraud, does it -- does it
12 happen that people committing fraud will fill out forms in
13 other people's names?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And I believe that this exhibit is multipage.

16 MR. CONOLLY: If we could go to the next page of it,
17 please.

18 BY MR. CONOLLY:

19 Q. And so this -- this is another certification from the same
20 file?

21 A. Yes. Different dates.

22 Q. Okay. And how often do you say these certifications
23 needed to be filled in?

24 A. Every two weeks of -- if you look at the form, to the
25 right, it labels it first week and second week, and it will

1 provide the dates that each week begins and ends.

2 Q. The screen is a touch screen.

3 MR. CONOLLY: Could we zoom in on the text, please.

4 BY MR. CONOLLY:

5 Q. And the screen is a touch screen. If you can just circle
6 the -- once it's zoomed in.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. Could you circle the dates you were just referring to
9 there.

10 A. Yes. (Witness complies.)

11 Q. So I'd just like the record to reflect the witness has
12 circled what is labeled as the first week and the second week
13 at the top right of the form.

14 Under the dates that you've just circled, what are
15 those "yes" "no" boxes? What -- what are they for?

16 A. Those are to answer Questions 1 through 6 that are listed
17 on the left.

18 Q. Okay. And what do those questions, just in brief, what do
19 those questions look for?

20 A. It asks if the employee or the claimant is able to work
21 and if they have been working for work and if they've accepted
22 work or refused work.

23 Q. And the claimant needs to -- these certifications need to
24 be sent in every two weeks, you mentioned?

25 A. Yes.

1 MR. CONOLLY: If we can just have the next page, and
2 we won't do every page. I just wanted to clarify something.

3 And if we can zoom in, please.

4 BY MR. CONOLLY:

5 Q. It looked like on the last form none of the boxes had
6 actually been written in. But in this one they -- they have
7 been.

8 MR. CONOLLY: Thank you.

9 BY MR. CONOLLY:

10 Q. So here we see the "yes," "no" answers of whoever it is
11 that's filling out this form?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And down here in the middle of this table, I'm circling
14 the words "self" in both lines. What do those indicate?

15 A. That would imply that the person filling out the form is
16 alleging that they are self-employed.

17 Q. And in this -- this box to this column to the right of
18 that, can you tell us, please, what that indicates?

19 A. So that would indicate that the person filling out the
20 form was no longer able to work due to the COVID pandemic.

21 MR. CONOLLY: If we can have Exhibit 1418 on the
22 screen, please. If we can zoom in on the top half of that
23 page, please.

24 BY MR. CONOLLY:

25 Q. Can you tell us, please, what this document is?

1 A. So this would be part of the notes that I mentioned
2 earlier that the unemployment unit provides when we request
3 documentation, and this would be an address change that was
4 completed. Sorry.

5 So initially -- so the claimant name on this form is
6 provided as Francis Clement. So it would show at some point
7 that his address was 1395 Morena Boulevard, Number 108 in
8 San Diego, and then effective on November 18th of 2020, he
9 changed it to the address of 5230 Fiore Terr, Apartment K118
10 in San Diego.

11 Q. Okay. So approximately nine, nine and a half months,
12 then, after the original claim was filed, this address changed
13 was requested?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. And, again, you don't know from work or do you know from
16 these notes who specifically requested that change?

17 A. No, I do not.

18 MR. CONOLLY: All right. If we could move on to
19 Exhibit 1419, please. If we can zoom in on the text.

20 BY MR. CONOLLY:

21 Q. Can you tell us, please, what that document is?

22 A. Yes. So this is the initial online application for
23 Francis Clement. This would be the initial application filed
24 to file the claim with the EDD.

25 Q. And so sort of in the middle near the top, I'm circling

1 the mailing address. That's the address of
2 1395 Morena Boulevard, Space 108, correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Okay. And, again, you -- would you have any way of
5 knowing looking at this who actually filled out the form?

6 A. No.

7 Q. And -- do these -- sorry.

8 MR. CONOLLY: If we can still -- yes, Exhibit 1419
9 up, please.

10 BY MR. CONOLLY:

11 Q. Does it give a reason either on this page -- and this is
12 also a multipage document -- either on this page or on
13 following pages, does it give a reason that the person filling
14 out the form is claiming unemployment?

15 A. Yes. It should be on the next page, I believe.

16 Q. Okay.

17 MR. CONOLLY: If we can go to the next page.

18 BY MR. CONOLLY:

19 Q. And you can also follow along in the binder if that's
20 easier. It's 1419.

21 MR. REED: I think that was 1419. The next page was
22 1420.

23 MR. CONOLLY: No, it would be page 2 of 1419.

24 MR. REED: I see what you're saying.

25 MR. CONOLLY: If we can zoom in. Thank you.

1 BY MR. CONOLLY:

2 Q. Do you see on here a reason for claiming eligibility for
3 unemployment benefits?

4 A. Yes. It shows reason not working is laid off or no work
5 due to the COVID pandemic.

6 Q. Okay. On the screen in front of you, could you either
7 circle or underline what you have just read?

8 A. (Witness complies.)

9 Q. Okay. Thank you. Just let the record reflect that in the
10 upper right of the screen, under "reason not working," it says
11 "laid off/no work." And then next to the heading
12 "Self-Attestation Type" it reads:

13 "You had a definite date to start a job but cannot
14 reach that job as a direct result of the COVID-19
15 public health emergency."

16 MR. CONOLLY: And we may -- if we can go back to the
17 previous page, please.

18 BY MR. CONOLLY:

19 Q. Is there somewhere on the form that it indicates the
20 original date of the claim?

21 A. Yes. So it was submitted on July 31st of 2020. Uh, that
22 might not necessarily be the actual claim date, though.

23 Q. What might determine whether there was a difference
24 between the submitted date or the actual claim date?

25 A. So sometimes if a claimant has waited a few weeks to file

1 the claim, but they show the last day worked, sometimes the
2 claim date will go back to the last day that was worked and
3 the benefits will begin that date. So sometimes the benefits
4 will be retroed back to the date that they stopped working.
5 But if they waited a week or two to actually submit it, then
6 they will get the money for the time that they waited or the
7 benefits for the time they waited.

8 Q. And so in the address change form we saw an original date
9 of February of 2020 when the original address was submitted.
10 This is obviously, you know, a few months after that. Is it
11 that kind of delay that might cause this difference in dates?

12 A. Yes.

13 MR. CONOLLY: All right. We can take that down,
14 please. And I just have two more documents to show you.

15 If we could have Exhibit 1420 on the screen, please.
16 If we could zoom in on that top portion, please.

17 BY MR. CONOLLY:

18 Q. So this looks similar to an exhibit we were just looking
19 at. Can you tell us, please, what this is?

20 A. Yes. This would also be a part of the notes that we
21 request from unemployment. And this particular claimant is
22 for a John Stinson. And at some point he initially -- it was
23 initially filed that he lived at 1395 Morena Boulevard
24 Number 109 in San Diego. And effective June 13th of 2020 his
25 address was changed to 1395 Morena Boulevard, Space 108 in

1 San Diego.

2 Q. So same street number but moved to a different space, fair
3 to say?

4 A. Correct.

5 MR. CONOLLY: Thank you. If you could take that
6 down, please, and put up Exhibit 1421. If we could zoom in on
7 the text.

8 BY MR. CONOLLY:

9 Q. So can you tell us, please, what this document is and
10 whose name is on it?

11 A. Yes. This is another claim filed, the initial application
12 by -- claimant name is John Stinson.

13 Q. And we see here next to mailing address, what I'm circling
14 is that same address at 1395 Morena Boulevard, Number 109?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. And does it -- and on the second page would it also give a
17 reason for claiming unemployment?

18 A. Yes.

19 MR. CONOLLY: And if we could have the second page,
20 please. And if we could just zoom in on the text as best we
21 can.

22 BY MR CONOLLY:

23 Q. And so I'm circling next to reason for not working and I'm
24 circling the self-attestation type. Is it fair to say that
25 those are the same as the last -- the last one of these online

1 application reports that we saw, that those are the same?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So the reason for not working "laid off/no work," and the
4 self-attestation type is -- the text is:

5 "You had a definite date to start a job that is no
6 longer available as a direct result of the COVID-19
7 public health emergency"?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. On this form would it also indicate who the person's
10 employer is or was?

11 A. Yes, it can.

12 Q. And where would we see that? And if it's not on this
13 page, I'm happy to turn to another page.

14 A. It's possibly on the next page, if one was provided.

15 MR. CONOLLY: Okay. If we can have the --

16 THE WITNESS: I can look.

17 BY MR. CONOLLY:

18 Q. Okay. Do you see it there?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. CONOLLY: Okay. If we could have page 3 up,
21 please. If we could zoom in, please.

22 BY MR. CONOLLY:

23 Q. Can you circle on the screen, please, where you see that
24 information?

25 A. (Witness complies.)

1 Q. Okay. So next to "Ownership Code" it says, "private
2 employer," which you've circled, and then "What is your usual
3 occupation? Janitorial assistant." And then next to "Other
4 skills" it says, "supervisor or janitorial service."

5 Once again, is that -- is that information that is
6 filled out -- sorry. Is that information that is generated by
7 the person who is filling out the form?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And in your experience, it is -- with fraud, it is common
10 for fraud that other people, other than the ones who are named
11 in these documents, are the ones filling out these forms?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. And then the address they include, is that -- is the
14 mailing address which is not verified, is that -- is that
15 where they would receive the benefits if the claim is
16 accepted?

17 A. Yes, correct. Once the benefit is sent out, it's sent to
18 the mailing address provided on the application.

19 MR. CONOLLY: Okay. Just one moment, Your Honor.

20 Thank you, Your Honor. I have no further questions
21 for Ms. Garza.

22 THE COURT: Ms. Reed --

23 MR. REED: Yes, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: -- I think we might need to have a break
25 at this time, unless you're just going to be a couple minutes?

1 MR. REED: They need a break.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Let's go ahead and take a break.
3 Let's just go at this point, say, about 15 minutes? All
4 right. That will put us at 20 till.

5 (Jury exits the courtroom at 9:24 a.m.)

6 THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and take a
7 break.

8 MS. STOKMAN: Just before we do that, I just wanted
9 to inform everybody that there was no cooperation agreement in
10 the Southern District of California for the witness.

11 THE COURT: All right. Could I get a copy of that
12 grand jury testimony then, so I can take a look at what we're
13 talking about? But let's take the break.

14 (Recess held.)

15 MS. LUEM: And, Your Honor --

16 MR. VILLA: Your Honor, I assume --

17 MS. LUEM: -- the two reports who, I think, your law
18 clerk -- that we received in the Jencks production concerning
19 Mr. Rapinoe, and I just emailed them earlier. I'm not sure if
20 they've come through to you.

21 THE COURT: I don't need them. We need the Bates
22 number so that we can all be on the same page. And so
23 Ms. Stokman is working on that.

24 MS. STOKMAN: I think the ones that she's referencing
25 probably are the exact reports, so those Bates numbers are

1 what they -- they are our line 99 and 42, I believe.

2 MS. LUEM: I believe so. Yeah, I just emailed them
3 earlier. And the witness is not referenced by name, he's
4 referenced by his CI number.

5 THE COURT: Are there other references to the CI
6 number someplace else so that that can be tied up?

7 MS. STOKMAN: Judge, it's -- so there's two levels to
8 this. One is that it references an informant. The informant
9 is the applicable information that should trigger the request
10 long ago for a CI file.

11 When that was produced the file path on the Excel
12 spreadsheet that documented what each document was, was under
13 the name of Brian Rapinoe so that it would be going to his
14 *Jencks* material.

15 That also -- those ROIs, also have screenshots of the
16 text messages between the witness and Defendant Clement that
17 the Court saw and the jury saw and counsel saw here as entered
18 into evidence. And so that point, it was -- the link has been
19 shown through the production that the government gave. And in
20 any event, it was very apparent that there was an informant
21 involved in that buy.

22 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to --

23 MS. LUEM: Not a paid informant.

24 THE COURT: We're going to take a break. Mr. Johnson
25 is here but Mr. Clement has stepped out and I don't know if he

1 wants to be here for this, so we do need to take the break,
2 unless you're saying he doesn't --

3 MS. FISHER-BYRIALSEN: You can go ahead. That's
4 fine.

5 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Luem, what were you
6 saying?

7 MS. LUEM: There is no reference to him being a paid
8 informant. There's a lot of confidential informants in this
9 case, Judge, and there's not a reference in either one of
10 those ROIs that he's being paid for his cooperation.

11 THE COURT: But that's not what I heard you say
12 originally. What you said was you wanted the cooperation
13 agreement. And I guess what you're trying to say is, a
14 cooperation agreement in which someone is paid looks different
15 than a cooperation agreement when there's not being paid?

16 MS. LUEM: Right. It was actually Ms. Clement's team
17 that asked for the cooperation agreement. I was asking for
18 his ATF contract when they signed him up to be a paid
19 confidential informant, which is something different.

20 THE COURT: Right. I'm sorry, I misspoke. But
21 you're saying that what you have heard so far, the fact that
22 if you connect the dots you get to the particular informant,
23 that there is a different -- that you, in this trial, learn
24 that the person is being paid.

25 How would the steps have been different had you known

1 that earlier on that the person was paid as opposed to not
2 being paid?

3 MS. LUEM: I would have demanded his contract with
4 ATF, signing him up to be a paid confidential informant.

5 THE COURT: What would have been the difference in
6 the steps you would need to take for an informant who is paid
7 or not paid?

8 MS. LUEM: Well, there's -- there's a contract that
9 they sign, an agreement that they make.

10 THE COURT: No. I'm sorry, I'm not being clear.

11 MS. LUEM: No.

12 THE COURT: For you to get eyes on that document how
13 would the process have been different had you known earlier
14 that the person had been paid?

15 MS. LUEM: I would have asked the government.

16 THE COURT: No. All right. Anything else, Ms. Luem?

17 MS. LUEM: No. I mean, I'm not sure if I'm
18 misunderstanding your question. I mean, if I had known then
19 that he was being paid, I would have asked the government.

20 THE COURT: I think you are misunderstanding my
21 question. So what you're saying is, had you known that the
22 person was paid, you would have sought it. I understand that.

23 MS. LUEM: Correct.

24 THE COURT: What I was trying to ask you is, how
25 would that process have changed? Because I'm hearing from

1 Ms. Stokman that the process is you make a request, there's
2 some process, you go down and look at it, and you -- that
3 process, as you understand it, is the same regardless of
4 whether the informant is paid or not paid. Is that true?

5 MS. LUEM: No.

6 THE COURT: Then how is the process different if the
7 informant is paid versus not paid?

8 MS. LUEM: In my experience, when I find out, and
9 it's happened during trial before, that an informant had been
10 paid for their cooperation, I ask the government to provide
11 their contract with the agency that they were working with,
12 and the government then retrieves that, makes whatever
13 redactions they deem necessary or provides it to the Court,
14 and then that's given to me for cross-examination of that
15 particular witness.

16 THE COURT: I think I'm not being clear. I guess,
17 Ms. Stokman, if an informant is not paid, is this agreement
18 still in existence?

19 MS. STOKMAN: Yes. And there's no change whether
20 they are paid or they are working off a case. That has to do
21 with the motivations for coop- -- being an informant. And I
22 think we're mistaking what a cooperator versus a CI is. And a
23 CI means that there is a formal contract with a law
24 enforcement agency, which is what is notated within the ATF
25 reports that were produced in early November.

1 MS. LUEM: It's not notated in the reports that it
2 was a paid --

3 THE COURT: Right. I understand that your interest
4 in this has been piqued, and it wasn't piqued before until you
5 learned that the person had been paid.

6 MS. LUEM: Correct.

7 THE COURT: You now received the receipt showing
8 whatever that payment was, so you -- and you heard testimony
9 that the person has been paid. And so now you're making that
10 request.

11 MS. LUEM: I am.

12 THE COURT: The government's argument was that this
13 request could have been made before now because you knew that
14 there was an agreement. And you're just saying, Well, it
15 wasn't interesting to you until you found out that he was
16 being paid.

17 MS. LUEM: I did not know that there was an agreement
18 for him to be paid. I understand that --

19 THE COURT: I understand. What I'm saying, though,
20 is -- what I'm hearing from the government is the agreement is
21 the same except for what the benefits are set forth in the
22 agreement.

23 If you're being paid, they are going to say you're
24 getting paid. If you're not being paid, they are going to
25 list whatever benefits you're getting.

1 And you're saying, though, you didn't have a reason
2 or interest in getting or looking at that agreement until you
3 learned that the witness had been paid. Am I understanding
4 you correctly?

5 MS. LUEM: That he was a paid confidential informant
6 as opposed to a confidential informant who was working off a
7 case or something to that effect, yes. I think it's a
8 different contract that's signed.

9 THE COURT: I'm hearing that it's not. You seem to
10 say that there is a different contract depending on what the
11 benefit is.

12 MS. LUEM: That's always been my understanding.

13 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Your Honor, if I may.

14 THE COURT: Yeah.

15 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: It is not our obligation to
16 find out what is *Jencks*, what is *Giglio*, and what is *Brady*
17 material in the government's file. It is their obligation to
18 search their files and their obligation to put two and two
19 together to get four that they have material for
20 cross-examination in their file.

21 They had it. They didn't look for it. They knew he
22 was paid. They knew all of this. And now we're saying that
23 we're going to hold it against the defendants because their
24 lawyers did not figure out that he was a paid informant?

25 THE COURT: No. I'm just trying --

1 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: It's outrageous.

2 THE COURT: -- to get the facts, and I can't figure
3 it out. So, Ms. Barrett, maybe you can help me.

4 What I understood, and I'm just trying to understand
5 the context here, is there was information produced at some
6 point that this person was a confidential informant. And
7 apparently, there was some steps to look through that could
8 have gotten the issue raised before now.

9 Then I hear, but it wasn't interesting or it wasn't
10 of use to the defense until it was learned that the witness
11 was paid.

12 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Well --

13 THE COURT: I'm not asking questions anymore except,
14 do I understand the facts correctly?

15 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: No.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Where --

17 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: That is -- because that is not
18 why the request is being made, from my perspective --

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: -- now. The request is being
21 made, from my perspective, because I did not figure it out.
22 It is not -- it is not because I missed something or there was
23 something there.

24 THE COURT: I'm not -- I'm not trying to argue or
25 make anyone defensive. I'm just trying to figure out the

1 basic framework, and I think maybe the way I'm asking it is
2 causing defensiveness. I'm just trying to figure out what has
3 happened and what to do from now.

4 So I understood it differently. Maybe it's just
5 because Ms. Luem had a different argument.

6 You're saying, I should have just gotten the
7 agreement without being requested.

8 Ms. Luem, I understood what you were saying was,
9 yeah, you knew there was a confidential informant, but until
10 you knew that he was paid, that agreement just didn't mean
11 anything to you. You weren't seeking it at that time, and now
12 you are.

13 MS. LUEM: Well, I think we were always seeking
14 benefits that were received by cooperators. Certainly I think
15 all of our previous discovery motions have suggested as such.
16 And I think it's -- because of the number and nature of these
17 witnesses, it's unclear which ones we have cooperation
18 agreements for and which we do not.

19 When he said that he was paid, and I realized we
20 didn't have any receipts, then I think that there was -- there
21 was more inquiry on my part as to the level of his
22 participation.

23 I reached out to Ms. Barrett, and she said that there
24 was not, in fact, any cooperation agreement for this witness
25 in our file. Because frankly, he wasn't on my radar because

1 he was not implicating Mr. Johnson to the extent that he was
2 Mr. Clement.

3 So I think we're making those requests now, and I
4 don't think timeliness is an issue. The witness is on the
5 stand, and we can make the request at any point.

6 THE COURT: So, Ms. Stokman, I think I now understand
7 the argument that they are making is that this is material
8 that should have been produced with *Jencks* because it does --
9 it identifies benefits the witness may receive.

10 And I had understood what you were saying before is,
11 We only have to give them notice it exists, then they have to
12 inquire further and actually get eyes on it, according to this
13 process.

14 The argument as I understand it now is, No, no, no.
15 They don't have to do that. You have to do it.

16 MS. STOKMAN: Judge, it's never -- I don't know --
17 and I know this is true for every agency that deals with
18 confidential informants. A confidential informant file is
19 extremely protected, to the point where US Attorneys' Offices
20 have to make the same request of the agents in order to view
21 those files.

22 And so those files are never part of a *Jencks*
23 production, but they are available if the process is gone
24 through in the way that it needs to for counsel to sit with
25 the agent and view in the agent's presence, and then that file

1 stays. It never leaves the agency under which it exists.

2 And I know this is true for ATF because that's the --
3 that's the agency at issue here. But this is also true at
4 FBI, DEA. They do not produce informant files on the regular
5 because of the confidential nature of what those contain. And
6 those are always available to be viewed at request of counsel
7 when it comes to any stage of an investigation or a case
8 leading to trial and up to trial.

9 THE COURT: All right. Ms. -- Ms. Luem.

10 MS. LUEM: That's just not my experience, Judge.
11 I've had this exact issue happen during trial, mid-trial with
12 an informant.

13 THE COURT: Okay. Mid-trial. I'm talking about
14 before trial. Are these, in your experience, these -- these
15 agreements produced with *Jencks*? Or is it a situation which
16 you are in trial like we are now and then you go, Okay, yeah I
17 need that?

18 MS. LUEM: The last time it happened, it was
19 mid-trial, and I did receive a copy of the contract -- the
20 informant contract. I can't remember if it was
21 Homeland Security or ATF or which agency. But --

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MS. LUEM: -- it was four or five --

24 THE COURT: But in your experience, is it produced
25 with a *Jencks* production before trial?

1 MS. LUEM: I can only speak to the last time this
2 happened for me, and it was during trial that it -- it came
3 up.

4 THE COURT: Ms. Barrett, have you had the experience
5 when this type of agreement was produced in *Jencks*, or is it a
6 process that we've heard described like Ms. Luem is talking
7 about?

8 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: I haven't had a case in a
9 confidential informant in years.

10 THE COURT: And when you did have one years ago --

11 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Was in state court.

12 THE COURT: And when you had that case in state
13 court --

14 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: I had --

15 THE COURT: -- was it produced in pretrial or was it
16 produced when it was requested during trial?

17 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Well, I practice in the
18 great -- practiced before in the great State of New Jersey
19 where we had open-file discovery. So I don't think it is
20 comparable to the federal system.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: I have not -- I have not, in
23 all honesty, had a confidential informant in a case that I can
24 recall and those cases were all -- excuse me, very few of
25 those cases were non-capital, non-death penalty cases.

1 THE COURT: All right. So I think where we are now
2 is the request has been made. Can we make arrangements for
3 them to see the file if they choose to attend this afternoon?

4 MS. STOKMAN: No, because division counsel needs to
5 be involved in that. The agent is telling me that that can't
6 be done that quickly.

7 THE COURT: Where is division counsel, and what
8 involvement does that person have to have?

9 MS. STOKMAN: They're in Dublin, so up north.

10 THE COURT: And what involvement does that person
11 have to have?

12 MS. STOKMAN: They have to approve the viewing of the
13 file, which I believe means they have to review it themselves
14 first before they can approve that.

15 THE COURT: All right. So somebody needs to get on
16 the phone with that person, tell them that the Court is
17 ordering this to occur. And if they have something that they
18 need to say, then we will certainly get them on the line and
19 take care of that.

20 In the meanwhile, I would suggest that counsel do
21 research, because I'm going to, too, to find out if this is
22 typical *Jencks* material. If it is, we're talking about
23 something else. If it's not, then we've maybe been spending a
24 lot of time talking about something that we didn't need to
25 talk about, meaning do I have to actually spend time about

1 whether the government has failed to produce according to the
2 law, or can we just focus on what has to happen going forward.

3 All right. Since we've used up all of our time, we
4 do now need to take 15 minutes. Okay.

5 (Recess held.)

6 MS. STOKMAN: Judge, I have one thing before we let
7 the jury back.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 MS. STOKMAN: Agent Gonzalez spoke with ATF counsel,
10 and we can send over the informant agreement, a blank one,
11 which is the exact same one that he signed. This would be,
12 though, under protective order that the Court -- I would be
13 asking to issue orally right now. They want it for attorneys'
14 eyes only. That we can do. And it was -- it's the exact same
15 one that he would have signed and initialed himself.

16 THE COURT: Does it set forth monetary benefits or
17 anything like that?

18 MS. STOKMAN: No, because that is not -- I mean, I
19 haven't read through this entire agreement, but Agent Gonzalez
20 is telling me that that wouldn't be detailed in the agreement
21 itself. It just has conditions of what is expected.

22 THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and send that
23 over, and I will issue the protective order that that is for
24 attorneys' eyes only.

25 MS. LUEM: And, Your Honor --

1 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Part of that, Your Honor --

2 MS. LUEM: Sorry.

3 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: -- will the witness
4 acknowledge that he signed an agreement just like that?

5 MS. STOKMAN: Yeah. I mean, he did, so he'll
6 acknowledge that he signed the agreement.

7 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: He might not acknowledge it.

8 THE COURT: Well, I mean, if he doesn't, the
9 government will make that representation, it sounds like.

10 MS. STOKMAN: Yes.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MS. LUEM: And, Your Honor, the problem that I have
13 with that, and I'm looking at that agreement right now,
14 because I happen to have it from another case, uh, a blank
15 version of that, there are portions where the informant has to
16 insert the activity or cooperating witness is expected to
17 accomplish. And so I think there's information that's
18 contained within that report that could be impeachment
19 information, and I think that the Court should be allowed to
20 review that and determine whether or not that should be
21 released to us.

22 MS. STOKMAN: Judge that's not how ATF's form reads.
23 I don't know what agency Ms. Luem is referring to, but that is
24 not what this one requires. So I'm sending it now to
25 everybody, including the Court.

1 THE COURT: I'm -- so it hasn't been sent.

2 So, Ms. Luem, you're looking at something other than
3 what Ms. Stokman is talking about?

4 MS. LUEM: Yes.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Let's wait and get what she's
6 sending over, what Ms. Stokman is saying is what the witness
7 signed.

8 MS. LUEM: This is -- the form I'm looking at says --

9 THE COURT: Well, let's just wait. Let's get the one
10 she's sending you. It may not be the same. I don't know.

11 MS. LUEM: It's ATF Form 3252.2.

12 THE COURT: And is that the one that she sent you?
13 Does that have that same number on it?

14 MS. STOKMAN: This form is 325 -- I can't see the
15 file path, but there's no indications of anything other than a
16 signature and initials.

17 MS. LUEM: Is it the same form? 3252.2?

18 THE COURT: No. Let's wait.

19 Have you received it from Mr. Stokman?

20 MS. LUEM: No.

21 THE COURT: Let's get that, and then you can compare
22 for yourself and raise that. If it turns out we're looking at
23 two different things, then we're talking when the jury can be
24 in here, yeah?

25 All right. Anything that we need to talk about at

1 this time?

2 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: No, Your Honor. Just for the
3 record, Your Honor, I spoke with Mr. Conolly during the break
4 and showed him a copy of the transcript of the grand jury
5 testimony of Mr. Rapinoe, and then I provided it to the Court.

6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

7 All right. Let's go ahead and bring the jury in.

8 (Jury enters the courtroom at 9:59 a.m.)

9 THE COURT: All right. We have our jury members
10 back.

11 Thank you for your patience. I'm sorry, one thing
12 just lead to another and time got away from me.

13 If we can have the witness retake her seat.

14 CROSS-EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. REED:

16 Q. Good morning.

17 A. Good morning.

18 Q. Is it Agent Garza, Investigator Garza, detective; which
19 one is it?

20 A. Investigator is fine.

21 Q. Investigator Garza. Okay.

22 You currently are an investigator with the
23 California EDD program; is that right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Okay. Before that, you were a -- a -- excuse me -- a

1 criminal investigator for the EDD program?

2 A. I'm -- I'm currently a criminal investigator for EDD.

3 Q. And before that, you were an insurance investigator?

4 A. Detective, yes.

5 Q. Well, were you working for the state at that time or --

6 A. Yes, the California Department of Insurance.

7 Q. Okay. And then prior to that, you worked for?

8 A. The Reedley Police Department as a patrol officer in
9 the --

10 Q. That's where I missed it. Someplace I never heard of.

11 You said Reedley?

12 A. Reedley.

13 Q. Somewhere around here, right?

14 A. It is.

15 Q. Yeah. Okay. Okay. So how long have you been state
16 investigator with EDD?

17 A. Two years.

18 Q. So you would have been just coming on during the late
19 COVID thing that happened, right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. So you were not around when this investigation was being
22 done, the one that we're talking about?

23 A. When it -- I don't know when this investigation started.
24 I know when I received it.

25 Q. Okay. So you were actually working for the state at that

1 time?

2 A. I began working for EDD in January of 2023.

3 Q. All right. Were you part of the task force?

4 A. No.

5 Q. You know what I'm talking about?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Okay. Well, if I were to state that a whole bunch of
8 state money got stolen during COVID and that a bunch of
9 different agencies got together to try figure out where that
10 money went to, were you part of that thing?

11 A. No.

12 MR. CONOLLY: Objection. Foundation.

13 THE COURT: She answered no. The objection is
14 overruled.

15 BY MR. REED:

16 Q. Okay. So this address in San Diego, when you got the
17 case, had all that investigation already been done?

18 MR. CONOLLY: Objection. Vague as to "address."

19 THE COURT: Sustained.

20 BY MR. REED:

21 Q. Okay. Does the address in Morena, which is the only
22 address that we've spoken about in San Diego since you've been
23 on the witness stand -- right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. You know what address I'm speaking of, then, right?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Using that address as an example, or as the point, when
3 you got that address in the computer run that you testified to
4 in the various exhibits, were you already an investigator on
5 this case?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay.

8 MR. CONOLLY: Objection as to which case.

9 BY MR. REED:

10 Q. The case that you're doing. You're not a federal
11 government agent, right?

12 A. Correct. I'm a state --

13 Q. And you don't work for the Eastern District of the
14 United States, right?

15 A. No, I don't.

16 Q. You don't work with any of these people here, correct?

17 A. No, I don't.

18 Q. You're an investigator for the State of California?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. So the investigation I'm talking about is the one
21 that would come under your purview, which is what you did for
22 your company, or what's your part of the government where you
23 get a paycheck for?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Okay. When you got that investigation, to investigate EDD

1 fraud, when you got the paperwork that you testified to, the
2 exhibits that the agent -- the prosecutor spoke of -- 1420,
3 1421, 1419, 1417 -- the ones that you saw, when you saw those
4 documents, were you already investigating EDD fraud?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Okay. When you saw the address of Morena way down in
7 San Diego, did you go down to that address in that house or
8 that mobile home park?

9 A. No, I did not.

10 Q. Okay. Is there someone in your investigation, in your
11 group, that followed up on that address?

12 A. Not that I'm aware of, no.

13 Q. But you were part of the investigation where that
14 particular address came up?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. What did you do personally?

17 A. I had to review the records, checks for the runs that were
18 completed by the unemployment unit to establish the claims
19 that had been filed at that address. So I reviewed that
20 documentation. And then I reviewed -- I had to review
21 documents that were received in regards to each claim.

22 Q. You work out of Sacramento?

23 A. No. I work out of Fresno.

24 Q. Okay. So did you ever leave Fresno during your part of
25 the investigation?

1 A. Yes. For various duties, yes.

2 Q. Did you go down to San Diego?

3 A. No, not San Diego.

4 Q. Okay. So let me step back a bit now.

5 I commit EDD fraud. Okay? I use someone else's
6 name, I fill out the form, and let's just think of it -- think
7 of an address or a name -- well, we'll just use that one, the
8 Morena address but not the one that's on this case. Okay?

9 A. Okay.

10 Q. And you see that's 34 -- with 34 different names,
11 applications came from that address, and you later on are
12 going to tie that to me, right? Because I'm there living by
13 myself but I've written all this information out. You follow
14 me?

15 A. Okay.

16 Q. What happens when I send out the application? How does
17 that work?

18 A. You mean what process does it --

19 Q. Yeah, I'm trying to figure out when -- when do I get a
20 check.

21 A. It has to go through a claims process and get approved to
22 determine whether you're eligible or not.

23 Q. Right. And let's say I got past all of that because I
24 write all the good stuff down. I don't get a check, right?

25 MR. CONOLLY: Objection. Vague.

1 THE COURT: Overruled.

2 You can answer.

3 THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with the process that
4 you're asking for. You're asking me when you receive the
5 benefits?

6 BY MR. REED:

7 Q. Well, you are not aware -- this is during COVID.

8 A. Uh-huh.

9 Q. We can't go anywhere, right? We don't get to go places
10 during COVID. How does the EDD give me money? Do they give
11 it to me by check? Do I go to a cash machine? How does that
12 happen?

13 A. The majority of the time you receive it on a debit card.

14 Q. There we go. So there are 34 different people, so there's
15 34 different debit cards.

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Okay. And I have this stuff with me, these debit cards.
18 How do I make those debit cards into paper, into money that I
19 can spend?

20 A. You can go -- the debit cards go through Bank of America,
21 and you can go to a Bank of America ATM and complete a
22 transaction, you can pull out cash if you need to.

23 Q. Oh, but no. If I did that, the Bank of America credit
24 card machine camera would see my face, right?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. That's one of the ways people get caught, right?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. And you did the investigation on this case, maybe you
4 didn't do the leg work, but you read the file that created
5 this case that we're talking about right now, correct?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. Now, this case has a name. What is it?

8 A. It's under Mr. Stinson's name.

9 Q. Really?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. The entire case is under Mr. Stinson's name?

12 A. The case has to have a title and that --

13 Q. I got that. I'm just asking you. You can say yes or no.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. So all of the case, everything is under Mr. Stinson's
16 name?

17 A. The case title is under Mr. Stinson's name.

18 Q. I'm with you there. That's fine. But Mr. Stinson wasn't
19 in San Diego, right?

20 A. I don't know where he was.

21 Q. Okay. Mr. Stinson is not the person that filled out the
22 form, correct?

23 A. I don't know who filled out the form.

24 Q. Mr. Stinson is not the person that went to the
25 Bank of America and got any money out of the account; is that

1 correct?

2 A. Not that I'm aware of, no.

3 Q. Because you know the face of the picture on the -- on the
4 individual applications, right?

5 A. There are no photos on the applications.

6 Q. So somebody was able to miracle themselves. They get a
7 ATM card. They go to the Bank of America ATM machine, one of
8 the largest banks in our country, and they somehow are able to
9 take money out of an ATM without their face ever being shown.

10 Is that what you're telling me?

11 A. No. So sometimes if records are requested for bank
12 records, Bank of America is not always able to supply a photo
13 of the transaction.

14 Q. Okay. That doesn't answer my question.

15 Not always doesn't mean the same as always, and it
16 doesn't mean the same as every time. Are you telling me that
17 every one of the -- every one of the cards in this case, every
18 time money was taken out, they never ever took a picture?

19 Well, let met back up. Let me go this way so we can
20 get on the same page. Why is it that you investigate these
21 things?

22 A. To determine if fraud has been committed.

23 Q. And when fraud has been committed, what is it that
24 happens?

25 A. It can be filed with the court.

1 Q. Well, that's not -- that's not how that happens. That's
2 not how that starts. You're an investigator. You've been
3 doing this for a long time, since you were at Reedley.

4 Where is it that you as an investigator goes when you
5 think someone has committed a crime? After you've written
6 your report, after you have collected your evidence, you go to
7 the District Attorney's Office or --

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. -- the federal prosecutor, whatever agency that does the
10 prosecution of what it is you're doing, correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And when you take them this stuff, you take them enough
13 stuff so they will file a case, correct?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. And if you tell them that somebody went to the ATM they're
16 going to ask you for a picture, not because they are lazy but
17 because they like to do things the easy way if they can get
18 it.

19 MR. CONOLLY: Objection.

20 MR. REED: I'll strike that. That's my fault.

21 BY MR. REED:

22 Q. If there is a photo and if they think there's a photo out
23 there, are you telling me that the answer, Bank of America may
24 not get it, is going to be enough and you guys just don't
25 look?

1 A. I don't know. That's up to the district attorney. That's
2 not my decision to make.

3 Q. But you're the one that does the investigation. You're
4 here to testify about the investigation. Yes?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Did you write a report?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Hmm. But you were part of this investigation?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. So you're the person that looked at the computer wrong.
11 So you didn't do the other part of it, like finding out who
12 lives at that Miranda -- or Moreno address, finding out who
13 cashed the money. You didn't do that part?

14 A. Right. My responsibility is to look at where the claims
15 went and who's listed on the claims.

16 Q. Okay. Well, say for the sake of argument that Mr. Stinson
17 is in prison. Correct me if I'm wrong, but the EDD does not
18 send checks to prison, correct?

19 A. You should not be eligible to receive --

20 Q. I didn't say that. You've already gotten that part? Now
21 we're trying to find out where the money goes. Did the EDD
22 send a check to Mr. Stinson in prison?

23 A. Not on the records that I have, no.

24 Q. Has the EDD ever sent a check to someone who is in prison?

25 MR. CONOLLY: Your Honor, I'm going to object as

1 argumentative.

2 THE COURT: Sustained.

3 BY MR. REED:

4 Q. Did they send an ATM to Mr. Stinson in prison?

5 A. Not in prison. Not that I'm aware of, no.

6 Q. Based upon the answers to the questions that were asked by
7 the prosecutor, it appears that you and the prosecutor are of
8 opinion that Mr. Stinson is not the person who filled out the
9 application.

10 MR. CONOLLY: Objection. Foundation.

11 THE COURT: Sustained.

12 BY MR. REED:

13 Q. When the prosecutor asked you who filled out the
14 application and he said, It was someone else other than
15 Mr. Stinson and you agreed to that, doesn't that mean --

16 MR. CONOLLY: Objection. That mischaracterizes both
17 question and any answer he's about to state.

18 BY MR. REED:

19 Q. That -- I'll do it another way.

20 Who filled out the application?

21 A. I don't know.

22 Q. Was it Mr. Stinson?

23 A. I don't know.

24 Q. Who got the money?

25 A. I don't know.

1 Q. Was it Mr. Stinson?

2 A. I don't know.

3 MR. REED: I have no further questions.

4 THE COURT: Cross-examination, Ms. Barrett? Thank
5 you.

6 CROSS-EXAMINATION

7 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

8 Q. So you've already testified that you have no way of
9 knowing whether or not the person whose name appears on the
10 document is the person who completed it, correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. Uh, there's no verification of signatures; is that
13 correct?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. Uh, I believe, you testified on direct examination, uh,
16 that the social security numbers are verified; is that
17 correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Uh, can we pull up 1418, I
20 believe? Can you guys pull it up or -- I'm sorry.

21 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

22 Q. Yeah. Do you have that on the screen in front of you?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Yes.

25 Uh, would you read the social security number that

1 appears at the top?

2 A. It's blacked out.

3 Q. Above it?

4 A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's 990-37-7020.

5 Q. And according to your testimony, you verified that that
6 was the correct social security number for it?

7 A. Yeah, so this is not a social security number. This is an
8 EDD issued number.

9 Q. Why does it say "SSN"?

10 A. So it -- what can happen is if, say, for example, a
11 claimant had their social security number used by someone who
12 is not them and someone else committed fraud under their
13 social security number and that other person received
14 benefits, and another person can file -- the owner -- the
15 actual owner of the social security number can file a
16 legitimate claim, but EDD finds that there's been some fraud
17 committed against their social security number at some point
18 previous in time, EDD will issue them this social security
19 number so that they can receive the benefits under that
20 number.

21 Q. So what --

22 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Do we have the original
23 document with the social security number on it?

24 MR. CONOLLY: We do not have that marked.

25 ///

1 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

2 Q. The 990 number that begins his social security number,
3 where does that come from?

4 A. It would be an EDD-issued number.

5 Q. That -- do you know the Social Security Administration
6 issues 990 numbers?

7 A. I'm not aware. I know that this is an EDD-issued number.

8 Q. Again, I go back, why does it say "social security number"
9 on the top if it's not a social security number?

10 A. Through the -- through the EDD database and records, the
11 one person can have two if fraud has been committed against
12 their original social security number that was issued.

13 Q. So does this indicate that the EDD picked up fraudulent
14 activity in this particular submission?

15 A. My understanding is that it can be red-flagged prior to
16 that but I don't have expertise on how that process works.

17 Q. Well, you -- but you personally said you verified the
18 social security number on this account, you personally.

19 A. Yes, I will do -- I will check the social security numbers
20 through various databases to make sure it belongs to that
21 person.

22 Q. When you go to a state basis, do you -- what name do you
23 put in?

24 A. I don't put in a name, I put in the social security number
25 that I have available.

1 Q. And when that database came up, did that database report
2 to you that the social security number belonged to
3 Frances S. Clement?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Frances with an "E"?

6 A. I don't recall specifically the spelling, if I noted it
7 differently or not.

8 Q. Are you aware that ordinarily men whose name is Francis
9 spell it with an "I"?

10 A. I'm not aware of that.

11 Q. And that women whose name is Frances spell it with an "E"?

12 A. I'm not -- I'm not aware of that.

13 Q. So, obviously, whoever filled out this fraudulent form
14 wasn't aware of that either?

15 MR. CONOLLY: Objection. Lacks foundation.

16 THE COURT: Sustained.

17 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

18 Q. So at this point are you able to go back to your original
19 records to check the social security number that was included
20 in this document?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And how long will that take?

23 A. A couple of hours. You mean to go somewhere and actually
24 look at it?

25 Q. Like, to just get the number from the -- what was on the

1 document?

2 A. That would take me about five minutes. To run it, you
3 mean?

4 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Your Honor, I ask that that be
5 done at some point during this morning.

6 THE COURT: We can talk about that in a moment.
7 Let's --

8 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Okay.

9 THE COURT: -- get this witness done.

10 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

11 Q. Okay. Now you were asked by the government to produce
12 documents related to EDD fraud; is that right?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And you were given a particular address to research?

15 A. Right, correct.

16 Q. And how -- how many names and -- applications came up
17 under that address?

18 A. Is it the Space 108 and 109 that you're referring to?

19 Q. Yes. Yes.

20 A. It was -- it was approximately 34 claims; however, I
21 believe some were duplicates. So it was not 34 different
22 names, it was different claims, but they have some duplicate
23 names.

24 Q. How many different names?

25 A. I believe about 30.

1 Q. And when the government asked you to produce any of those
2 documents, were you asked to produce them all? All 30?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And were you asked to produce ones in the name of
5 Brian Rapinoe?

6 A. Yes. That name was on there, yes.

7 Q. But we don't have that here. You weren't shown that here
8 in court, were you?

9 A. No, I was not.

10 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Your Honor, I request the
11 Court take judicial notice of the indictment where
12 Mr. Clement's name is spelled --

13 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Is that sidebar?

14 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: -- F-r-a-n-c-i-s.

15 THE COURT: Yeah, let's talk about this at sidebar.
16 (Sidebar commences.)

17 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: I apologize, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Was there a true name finding made?

19 MR. CONOLLY: Not that I'm aware of. We certainly
20 didn't ask for that.

21 THE COURT: I'm sure there has to be.

22 MR. CONOLLY: I'll do it right now.

23 THE COURT: So the indictment says Francis with an
24 "I."

25 MR. CONOLLY: Uh-huh.

1 THE COURT: Just -- what's the objection about that?

2 MS. STOKMAN: I was objecting -- sorry. Just
3 since -- I'm sorry that I jumped in. It was the mention of
4 the indictment. I didn't know where that was going, but we've
5 already discussed not mentioning the indictment. But, I mean,
6 we don't have an issue with their being given his name in the
7 verdict forms and every other document that exists.

8 THE COURT: Well, the request is judicial notice. I
9 mean, it's an established court fact that his name is with an
10 "I."

11 MR. CONOLLY: Understood and appreciated, Your Honor.
12 But, you know, asking for judicial notice midstream with
13 cross-examination of a witness who's already testified that
14 she doesn't know how he spells his name is tantamount to
15 argument at this point.

16 THE COURT: Well, it's establishing a fact, which can
17 be done after the witness too, doesn't have to be done in the
18 middle.

19 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Of course. But it does -- it
20 also can be done in the middle.

21 THE COURT: Right. But then we're standing here
22 doing this when --

23 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: I didn't object.

24 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

25 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: I didn't object, Your Honor.

1 They did.

2 THE COURT: What I mean was had this just been
3 brought up and asked for judicial notice before or after this
4 witness, we could have done it at that time.

5 But again, it then gives the appearance that the
6 Court is vouching in some way for the argument that it seems
7 to be making. So I'll make that -- I'll take judicial notice
8 after we're finished this with witness.

9 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Okay.

10 (Sidebar ends.)

11 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

12 Q. Did you verify any of the signatures or review any of the
13 signatures on these documents?

14 A. I did not, no.

15 Q. Okay. And so you didn't make any comparisons of the
16 documents, one to the other, to see if the signature might
17 have been the same?

18 A. No, I did not.

19 Q. Were all these claims submitted around the same time, all
20 30?

21 A. I don't recall. I would have to look at the spreadsheet.
22 I don't recall what the dates were.

23 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Okay. Thank you.

24 THE COURT: Any further cross-examination, Mr. Villa?

25 MR. VILLA: Yes, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 | BY MR. VILLA:

3 Q. Good morning.

4 A. Good morning.

5 Q. For the investigation on -- excuse me -- 1395 Morena, you
6 just testified there was about 34 claims submitted with 30
7 names total?

8 A. Approximately 30, yes.

9 Q. None of those names were Kenneth Johnson, correct?

10 A. I don't recall.

11 Q. And the social security numbers used that -- the real ones
12 that were used were not Kenneth Johnson's social security
13 number either, correct?

14 A. I don't recall that name.

15 MR. VILLA: Those are all my questions.

16 | THE COURT: Redirect?

17 | MR. CONOLLY: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

18 | THE COURT: May this witness be excused?

19 MR. CONOLLY: Yes, Your Honor.

20 MS. STOKMAN: Yes.

21 MR. REED: Yes.

22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. You may
23 step down.

24 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Your Honor, I believe that we
25 would -- sorry. I believe Ms. Garza asked -- said that she

1 could check on the social security number on the document.

2 THE COURT: I think she did say that. So you're
3 saying you don't wish to excuse her at this time?

4 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: I would like to wait until we
5 have the number.

6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.

7 MR. CONOLLY: So, Your Honor, to be clear, she is
8 dismissed for now but subject to recall?

9 THE COURT: Correct.

10 MR. CONOLLY: Thank you.

11 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I have
12 decided to accept as proved the fact that Francis Clement's
13 name is spelled F-r-a-n-c-i-s, last name C-l-e-m-e-n-t, even
14 though no evidence has been presented on this point at this
15 time. However, you may accept this fact as true, but you're
16 not required to do so.

17 All right. Next witness, please.

18 MS. STOKMAN: Judge, we're going to need a brief
19 break in order for the next witness to come up.

20 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, if
21 you'll go ahead and take about a ten-minute break.

22 (Jury exits the courtroom at 10:24 a.m.)

23 THE COURT: All right.

24 MS. STOKMAN: Judge, for scheduling reasons, if
25 defense is ready to cross Mr. Rapinoe, we would prefer to put

1 him on the stand right now. But if not, we would need to have
2 the break anyway, so I'm just wanting to inquire if they're
3 ready to do that.

4 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Your Honor, I, myself, have
5 not had an opportunity to look at the document because I was
6 preparing and listening to this witness. So I would ask that
7 we handle Mr. Rapinoe at a later time when we have had an
8 opportunity to look at that document.

9 THE COURT: All right. I think we agree the document
10 that Ms. Luem was referring to earlier is not the document
11 that is at issue.

12 MS. LUEM: It's the same document. It's just they
13 have a newer version, so --

14 THE COURT: All right. And so it's the newer version
15 that Mr. Rapinoe apparently signed. So I guess I need to
16 understand -- well, what's the status of the cooperation
17 agreement?

18 MS. STOKMAN: There isn't one.

19 THE COURT: Oh, that's right. You said there wasn't
20 one.

21 MS. STOKMAN: Yes.

22 THE COURT: And when I looked at the transcript, he
23 says he was cooperating. Was there -- am I missing -- is
24 there another reference other than at page 11? Because I
25 think their representation was that he had entered into a

1 cooperation agreement.

2 Is there another reference to that in this?

3 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Only on those three pages is
4 all.

5 THE COURT: Which three pages?

6 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: 9 through 11.

7 THE COURT: All right. It says in here that he was
8 cooperating and -- but I don't see any testimony that he said
9 that entered into a cooperation agreement. He obviously -- I
10 mean, it looks like he got some benefits for doing this.

11 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: It says that he won't be
12 prosecuted federally as a result of his cooperation. Now, I
13 don't know, but that sounds like he has some kind of
14 cooperation agreement, whether it be -- I don't know --
15 verbal, signed. I don't know.

16 THE COURT: And, Ms. Stokman, your understanding is
17 that there is no cooperation agreement in the
18 Southern District.

19 MS. STOKMAN: Correct. That's what the AUSA in the
20 Southern District informed me this morning when I inquired,
21 that there's no cooperation agreement.

22 For the federal case, I'm not sure what that's
23 referencing, but in any event, there isn't an agreement that
24 he signed that would bind the Eastern District, so he's not
25 under a cooperation agreement here.

1 THE COURT: Ms. Barrett, other comments?

2 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Yes, Your Honor.

3 Your Honor, this is cross-examination based on the
4 expectations of benefit by the person who is testifying. He
5 had a -- obviously, he believes he had a benefit before, and
6 certainly he would believe that he would continue to have a
7 benefit, or he could believe that. And -- and that is why the
8 cooperation agreement is important.

9 He also -- he also says, I believe, that he's also
10 cooperating on the state case. So it is a double cooperation.

11 And I'm honestly surprised that anyone -- I don't
12 know if it's Ms. Wu -- excuse me -- the person who asked the
13 questions of this witness at the time, is the person who's
14 saying there's no cooperation agreement, but I'm surprised
15 that a federal prosecutor would elicit testimony like that in
16 front of a grand jury without having an agreement of some kind
17 and leave it uncorrected.

18 THE COURT: Well, I guess the question -- or what
19 seems to me to be a logical inference is that he has an
20 agreement with the state, and the federal prosecutor's taking
21 advantage or just dovetailing that, just like we've heard
22 testimony here where the state sat in on witness interviews
23 when there was a cooperating agreement with the federal
24 government.

25 Now, you-all can correct me if I'm wrong, but the law

1 says that the prosecutor must produce cooperating --
2 cooperation agreements within their control. But I think
3 there's a lot of case law that says state prosecutor -- or
4 state prosecutors, state law enforcement are not within their
5 control.

6 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: He says he's not going to be
7 prosecuted federally because --

8 THE COURT: I hear that. I see that. I have it
9 right in front of me. But I don't -- I'm not sure what you
10 want me to do, Ms. Barrett, because the representation is
11 there's not a federal cooperation agreement. Sounds like
12 there is a state one. But I guess the remedy here is what I'm
13 interested that you address.

14 Because you seem to think that I have authority to
15 compel or to find some discovery misdeed by the federal
16 prosecutor, and my understanding from federal -- from legal
17 authority is that is not the case. And I think we agree on
18 that. I mean, that's not really even beyond dispute, is it?

19 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: That you don't have the
20 authority to order someone in another district? You have --

21 THE COURT: No, no.

22 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: You're an Article III Judge.
23 You have --

24 THE COURT: Thank you for that clarification. I was
25 wondering.

1 What my question was -- and maybe we can just focus
2 on that -- there can be no dispute. There is no dispute in
3 legal authority that the federal prosecutor does not have
4 control over state prosecutors or state law enforcement
5 agencies.

6 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: That -- depending on if
7 they're involved in the investigation, that's true.

8 THE COURT: And in this case --

9 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Files against *Whitley* stands
10 for the proposition that in many cases they do where there's a
11 cooperative arrangement.

12 I submit that there is a cooperative arrangement here
13 between the Eastern District and the Southern District offices
14 because they are both dealing with the witness at the same
15 time. These recordings were made around -- around that time.

16 THE COURT: Let's -- right.

17 MS. LUEM: Your Honor, may I just --

18 THE COURT: No. Let me just say --

19 MS. LUEM: I'd like to supplement the record.

20 THE COURT: Wait. Here's the trouble: You-all go
21 for the jugular before I even know someone's in a fight. I
22 need to know what the fight is before we figure out what the
23 death blow is, and I'm still not understanding that --

24 MS. LUEM: I would just like to --

25 THE COURT: Wait. Wait.

1 What I was told was, Must be a cooperation agreement.
2 He testified there was, testified he was cooperating.

3 So, yeah, that leads me to believe that there's an
4 agreement. But what now I understand is if there's an
5 agreement, it's not with a federal prosecutor. It is with
6 maybe the state, I assume.

7 So now I'm just trying to figure out, what are we
8 seeking? What is it you're asking me to do?

9 MS. LUEM: Well, this is -- this is where I would
10 just like to supplement what the Court just said, which is
11 that according to ROI 42 that was drafted by Agent Gonzalez,
12 he and San Diego Police Department Detective Robert Schmidt
13 met with ATF confidential informant, who we now know is
14 Mr. Rapinoe, on May 23rd of '22 shortly -- this is two days or
15 three days after he testified in front of a federal grand
16 jury.

17 So this informant is now meeting with a
18 San Diego Police -- State Police Department officer --
19 detective, this ATF agent and this informant, and having a
20 powwow about how he's going to assist in this federal
21 investigation. That is presumably the time, and I think
22 according to this ROI, that he signs this agreement --
23 informant agreement, and when he's paid after he completes the
24 tasks asked of him by San Diego Police Department detective
25 and ATF Gonzalez. The payment has both

1 Detective Robert Schmidt, San Diego Police Department's name,
2 and Anthony Gonzalez, special agent with the ATF on it.

3 So this is clearly a joint effort between the
4 detective, case agent from the ATF here, and the San Diego
5 State Police Department to enlist this individual in some sort
6 of a -- whether it's just being given money for information,
7 giving benefits for information. There's something happening
8 here beyond just this \$2,000 payment. And the witness even
9 said that he was receiving -- that he was cooperating with
10 both the state and federal government. So --

11 THE COURT: I think that's my factual understanding
12 too. But the legal question, again, as I understood it, was
13 would I compel production of the cooperating agreement with
14 the federal prosecutor in San Diego. And now, as I understand
15 it, that doesn't exist. So the legal question is, can I force
16 a state prosecutor to produce that, and there -- I mean, if
17 you're going to say to me there's some authority that says I
18 can, then it came out yesterday, and if so, I need that cite.

19 MS. LUEM: Well, what my understanding is that it's
20 in the custody and control of the government here who's
21 prosecuting this case because they are working directly with
22 them, so they should have access and be able to obtain that as
23 part of the discovery in this case.

24 THE COURT: And so I'm going to -- we're going to
25 take a couple of minutes and you can get that cite for me that

1 says I have that authority because, every case from the
2 Ninth Circuit, from any circuit, says the fact that they are
3 working together doesn't mean that they have control over that
4 cooperating agreement.

5 If you have something different, let me give you a
6 minute to look for it. But I have never seen that type of
7 agreement. Now, if the prosecutor here is running that
8 operation and there's a task force and there's people from
9 both sides, then you're going to have a cooperating agreement
10 in the federal court.

11 I don't know what was happening back then, and I
12 don't think anybody does. But what I have been told is that
13 the federal prosecutor in San Diego does not have a
14 cooperating agreement -- doesn't have a cooperation agreement.
15 So I think what we're now talking about is can this prosecutor
16 go to that prosecutor because that prosecutor was working with
17 the witness who had a cooperation agreement with the state
18 prosecutor. I mean, that's as I understand what you're
19 saying.

20 So I'm just asking you, if you've got authority for
21 that, let me see it. But at this point, I don't see that I
22 can do that.

23 Mr. Reed, it appears to me you have comments.

24 MR. REED: Yes, on a different subject, assuming
25 we're going forward the way we are, without a cooperation

1 agreement from San Diego, for whatever reason, San Diego is
2 smart enough to figure out not to do it, however you want to
3 do it.

4 What Ms. Stokman said is the thing that I'm concerned
5 about in this trial with my cross-examination. If Mr. Rapinoe
6 says that, I had a cooperation agreement -- I don't care if
7 it's in writing -- if he thinks that, what I don't want when
8 I go down that road, which I will do, is for one of them to
9 pop up and say, Lack of foundation, there's no cooperation
10 agreement.

11 THE COURT: I guess the question is how you phrase
12 it, because if you say, Do you have a cooperating agreement?

13 Yes, I do.

14 MR. REED: I won't ask it that way.

15 THE COURT: Yeah. It's how you ask it. If this is
16 what your understanding --

17 MR. REED: Because -- what he has testified to up to
18 now and which I'm somewhat confused about, I'm not blaming
19 anyone. It's just the way life is. Okay. There's an
20 investigation where the California law spends millions of
21 dollars and nobody wrote it down. I can live with that. I'll
22 cross-examine on that.

23 If there are feds there when that guy comes in and
24 they take all his credit cards, like he said happened, and
25 there was some feds there and nobody wrote that down, okay,

1 but I'm going to ask him about that.

2 If he then took thousands -- a thousand dollars out
3 of each one of those cards with an ATM and nobody prosecuted
4 that case, maybe they do that thing different up here than
5 they do in the Central District, but with us, there's --
6 there's photos every time that happens.

7 And nobody wanted to prosecute him in the fed, that's
8 okay also. I just need him to be able to say that, because I
9 want to argue that's the benefit, is that he didn't get
10 charged.

11 I don't want them popping up, Oh, objection,
12 Your Honor. No foundation.

13 THE COURT: Well, he did testify on direct that he
14 wasn't prosecuted federally.

15 MR. REED: Yes.

16 THE COURT: But I don't -- I think that's fair game.

17 MR. REED: But -- and I think it's -- and correct me,
18 because although I'm not usually in the permission thing, I
19 usually do the forgiveness side, but I'm going to kind of want
20 to spend some time on that, because it makes a difference.
21 This guy is talking about how he's running everything outside
22 and done this and that, and that sounds like a big deal to me.
23 And to give that way, without writing it down, I guess it can
24 happen.

25 I can't blame Stephanie Stokman for what happened in

1 San Diego. I just can't. If that happened down there, at the
2 end of day if we don't ever get that document, then that's the
3 appellate lawyer's issue. But for the trial attorney, I want
4 to be able to establish that this was -- the way everybody is
5 playing, this was a very big investigation. Guys copy --
6 taking names down and things like that, and then this woman
7 comes in and testifies about the computer, and those things
8 are important. If there -- if this guy is thinking he's
9 sitting on a witness stand in a federal court and doesn't have
10 a lawyer next to him and thinks he cannot be in trouble,
11 that's all relevant for the jury on his truth and veracity.

12 And I don't think a man who has a criminal history
13 from 2011 to 2020, missing only one year with that criminal
14 history, with the things that that creates, if he gets that
15 kind of case in federal court, he thinks he's bulletproof for
16 some reason, and the only reason somebody like him thinks that
17 is because somebody told him that. There is a bunch of
18 reasons we can't get into that, but I should be able to deal
19 with that factual scenario.

20 THE COURT: Ms. Stokman, I have to say, when he was
21 testifying, I did wonder, he hasn't been advised of his
22 Fifth Amendment rights. And when I'm told he doesn't have a
23 cooperation agreement, he testified in the grand jury as well
24 into incriminating information, does he need counsel
25 appointed?

1 MS. STOKMAN: Judge, he was convicted of this EDD
2 fraud on the state side.

3 THE COURT: Oh --

4 MS. STOKMAN: That's what his conviction was.

5 THE COURT: All right. All right. That's where I
6 got lost.

7 And so basically it is a double jeopardy issue.

8 MS. STOKMAN: Correct.

9 THE COURT: All right. Makes sense.

10 So, Mr. Reed --

11 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

12 There's no double jeopardy from the federal government to the
13 state. The state may have a double jeopardy statute, but the
14 federal government doesn't honor the jeopardy -- doesn't honor
15 a state prosecution granting it jeopardy. It doesn't happen.
16 It's not double jeopardy.

17 THE COURT: Mr. Reed, so the issue you were raising
18 is that it seems, to me, if you're asking if one exists,
19 there's a foundation; if you're asking what he understands,
20 he's testified, so I think that we're clear on that.

21 Ms. Barrett, now back to your issue, I think what
22 you're saying is, if there's a statute that otherwise makes
23 that conduct unlawful, the fact that he suffered a conviction
24 does not preclude prosecution but it would preclude
25 prosecution for the same crime.

1 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: No. Federal -- federal court
2 prosecutes all the time where state prosecutions have taken
3 place beforehand. For instance, in cases of capital cases
4 where their state does not succeed in pursuing the death
5 penalty or getting the death penalty, the federal government
6 has sought the death penalty in those cases.

7 THE COURT: I think the distinction I'm making, and
8 maybe I'm being too subtle, is you can be charged federally
9 but the statute would maybe have a different element. It
10 would be a different statute based upon the same criminal
11 conduct. So I get your point. What you're saying is, he's
12 done all these things, maybe there's a statute under which it
13 comes -- it would give rise to prosecution that is separate
14 from what he was prosecuted for in state court. What I'm
15 hearing from the government is they don't know that situation.
16 So --

17 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Well, how about the
18 racketeering influence and corruption act.

19 MR. REED: Exactly.

20 THE COURT: I mean, yeah, as long as that was --
21 Ms. Stokman, what's your comment on that? I mean, technically
22 that's not beyond the realm.

23 MS. STOKMAN: No, but it's beyond the realm of what
24 we actually have to prove.

25 THE COURT: No, no, of course. But my question is,

1 does he need to be advised, does he need counsel appointed?

2 MS. STOKMAN: No. That's not the government's
3 understanding. If the Court would like us to obtain counsel
4 for him in order to do that, but that's not the government's
5 understanding. And I'll say again, any cooperation agreement
6 he would have had on the state wouldn't have binded the
7 government -- the federal government here in the
8 Eastern District anyway, and I think that was the Court's
9 original concern, what we were bound by. And he is under no
10 cooperation agreement here. He was a paid informant for ATF
11 as soon as anyone from the Eastern District of California
12 became involved with him.

13 THE COURT: All right. Anything else at this time?

14 Does anyone need to use the restroom before we call
15 the --

16 MS. STOKMAN: Okay. And, Judge, I'm sorry. Not to
17 just kind of keep harping on this, but is there a time frame
18 in which we believe cross-examination will be ready for this
19 witness? He comes from quite far away, so that's why I was
20 asking if we could put him back on the stand now.

21 THE COURT: Ms. Barrett, it sounds like what needs to
22 be looked at is that agreement, but if you need more time, we
23 can -- is there a witness we can do, start, and then maybe go
24 back or do something else?

25 MS. STOKMAN: If we start the next witness, we'll

1 hopefully have time after that witness is finished, but I'm
2 not -- I'm not sure of that.

3 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Your Honor, I think he can be
4 brought back tomorrow. There are hotels.

5 THE COURT: Right, I understand, but I guess the --
6 I'm talking from an efficiency standpoint.

7 There's no cooperation agreement. Your position on
8 the issue is clear. You want time to look at the informant
9 agreement, which is, as I saw it, is a checkbox form, and
10 that -- I'm not going to stand in your way of that, but
11 Mr. Clement does have two attorneys.

12 Can one of you do that while we bring in another
13 witness, or is that something you do need time overnight to
14 look at? I'm not suggesting one way or the other. I just
15 need to know what you can do.

16 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: I believe we need to confer
17 with one another about this issue because it is something that
18 has just arisen, and I would like to confer with my co-counsel
19 and also with other members of the defense team -- other
20 defense teams.

21 THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and we'll go
22 to the next witness after a break. Again, we're now quite a
23 bit past that time. So let's -- you all need a break, do it,
24 but I'm going to stay here so we can get started as quickly as
25 possible. So let's go off the record at this point.

1 (Recess held.)

2 THE COURT: Let's go ahead and bring the jury back
3 in.

4 (Jury enters the courtroom at 10:59 a.m.)

5 THE COURT: All right. We have our jury members
6 back.

7 Ms. Stokman, your next witness.

8 MS. STOKMAN: Yes. The government calls
9 Brandon Bannick.

10 THE CLERK: Mr. Bannick, as best you can, can you
11 raise your right hand.

12 BRANDON BANNICK,
13 called as a witness on behalf of the Government, having been
14 first duly sworn, testified as follows:

15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

16 THE CLERK: Please state and spell your last name.

17 THE WITNESS: Brandon Phillip Bannick, B-a-n-n-i-c-k.

18 THE CLERK: Thank you.

19 MS. STOKMAN: And you can move that microphone closer
20 to you if you need to.

21 Thanks.

22 DIRECT EXAMINATION

23 BY MS. STOKMAN:

24 Q. Good morning. I think it's still morning. Can you tell
25 us where you grew up, where you're from?

1 A. Yes. I grew up in Hermosa Beach, California.

2 Q. Is that in the Los Angeles area of California?

3 A. Yes. Yes, it is.

4 Q. And what -- what kinds of things did you do growing up in
5 Hermosa Beach?

6 A. Uh, skateboarding, surfing, just hanging out at the beach
7 a lot.

8 Q. Did there come a point in time in your life where you were
9 arrested for a crime and incarcerated of some sort?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. How old were you the first time that happened?

12 A. About 13.

13 Q. What was that for?

14 A. It was truancy. Truancy, and like some drug
15 paraphernalia.

16 Q. So did you get into other trouble as a minor after age 13?

17 A. Yes, yes, I did.

18 Q. What types of things were you in trouble for?

19 A. More like a lot of drug stuff and truancy, stuff like
20 that.

21 Q. Have you also been incarcerated as an adult?

22 A. Yes, I have.

23 Q. How old were you the first time that occurred?

24 A. 18.

25 Q. What was that for?

1 A. It was for burglary.

2 Q. How many years or how often have you spent time in prison
3 throughout your life?

4 A. Well, five prison terms, so probably ten years.

5 Q. Were those prison terms felony convictions for the
6 burglary you stated and also for fraud?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Do you also have felony convictions for possessing a gun
9 as a felon?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And also for possessing drugs for sale?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. Did you ever have any gang affiliation?

14 A. Yes, I did.

15 Q. What was that?

16 A. PENI, PENI Death Squad.

17 Q. When did you become part of PENI?

18 A. About 2019.

19 Q. Did you have interactions with them prior to that?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. You're currently in custody, right?

22 A. Yes, I am.

23 Q. Did you plead guilty to some crimes in order to be here in
24 custody?

25 A. Yes, I did.

1 Q. What did you plead guilty to?

2 A. I pled guilty to two counts of murder and aid of
3 racketeering and one count of participation in a RICO
4 conspiracy.

5 Q. And that RICO conspiracy, that's referring to a
6 racketeering enterprise?

7 A. Yes, it is.

8 Q. What enterprise was that?

9 A. Aryan Brotherhood.

10 Q. You've entered into a cooperation agreement with the
11 government pertaining to that case; is that right?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. And what benefits are you potentially receiving through
14 that cooperation agreement?

15 A. Uh, I have not received any benefits as of yet.

16 Q. And do you anticipate potential benefits for that
17 agreement?

18 A. Uh, I believe some sort of time, like, sentence reduction.

19 Q. And before you entered into the cooperation agreement,
20 what was the penalty you were facing on those crimes?

21 A. The death penalty or life in prison.

22 Q. And so eventually you believe that cooperation could
23 reduce the life sentence; is that fair to say?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. But you don't know how much at this point?

1 A. Right.

2 Q. So you mentioned the Aryan Brotherhood. Can you tell us
3 what that is.

4 A. It is a White prison gang.

5 Q. Have you ever been an associate of the Aryan Brotherhood
6 or the AB?

7 A. Yes, I have.

8 Q. When was your first exposure to the AB?

9 A. My first prison term in High Desert State Prison.

10 Q. That was when you were around 18?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. And during the time you've been an associate of the
13 AB, have you spoken with made members of the
14 Aryan Brotherhood?

15 A. Yes, I have.

16 Q. Have you learned through your conversations with those
17 brothers and your time in prison about the rules or codes of
18 conduct of the AB?

19 A. Yes, I have.

20 Q. Does the AB have a position on talking to law enforcement?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. What is that?

23 A. No talking to the law enforcement at all.

24 Q. What happens if you do?

25 A. You could be killed or punished by violence.

1 Q. Does the AB have a position about lying?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. What is that?

4 A. You should not lie.

5 Q. Otherwise, what happens?

6 A. Or you can be punished by violence.

7 Q. Does the AB have a position on disobeying an order coming
8 from a brother?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And what is that position?

11 A. You're to do what you're told.

12 Q. Or what happens?

13 A. Or you could be punished.

14 Q. Does that punishment sometimes involve death?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Does the AB have a position on disrespecting an
17 AB brother?

18 A. Sure, yes.

19 Q. And what is that?

20 A. Do -- absolutely never disrespect any Aryan Brotherhood
21 member.

22 Q. Or what could happen?

23 A. Or you could be killed.

24 Q. When we say "made member" and "brother," are those the
25 same things to you?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Are there other names that you've learned represent a made
3 AB brother?

4 A. Just -- yeah, a brother, a member.

5 Q. Have you heard the term "the brand"?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. What does that refer to?

8 A. The Aryan Brotherhood.

9 Q. And have you heard of the term "the tip"?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And what does that refer to?

12 A. The Aryan Brotherhood.

13 Q. Are those references to made brothers of the AB?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. In the course of the time that you were exposed to the AB
16 through your prison sentences and speaking with other
17 brothers, AB brothers, have you learned about the structure of
18 the AB?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And tell us about that.

21 A. Once you're a made brother, it's supposed to be like all
22 brothers are equal, but there's a three -- a three-person
23 commission which kind of governs the rest of the brothers;
24 made with -- of three -- three brothers.

25 Q. How, if at all, does the AB interact with people in gangs

1 such as PENI, street gangs?

2 A. How -- how do they interact with them?

3 Q. Uh-huh.

4 A. Either through incarceration or over the phone.

5 Q. And is there a structure as the AB relates to those street
6 gang members?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. What's that?

9 A. Uh, any -- I mean, any White street gang or, you know,
10 criminal gang is subject to the rules of the
11 Aryan Brotherhood.

12 Q. And in your experience, when you were in prison, were
13 there rules that you had to follow there?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And whose rules were those?

16 A. The Aryan Brotherhood.

17 Q. Do you know what the term "put in work" means or "putting
18 in work"?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. What is that?

21 A. To either commit acts of violence or make money, pretty
22 much anything in service of them.

23 Q. Of them?

24 A. Of the Aryan Brotherhood.

25 Q. Did you do anything while you were in prison to put in

1 work for the AB?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. What types of things?

4 A. Stabbing, assault, selling drugs.

5 Q. Did you do anything on the streets to put in work for the
6 AB?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. What types of things?

9 A. Uh, robbery, sell drugs, kidnapping, extortion, murder.

10 Q. Of any of those that you mention that made money, what, if
11 anything, happened to the proceeds or the profit of that -- of
12 those criminal ventures?

13 A. You got to kick it up to the brothers.

14 Q. Was there a certain amount that you had to give to the AB?

15 A. Typically -- typically, a third, but it could be more; it
16 could be less.

17 Q. Do you know an individual named John Stinson?

18 A. No. I do not know him, no.

19 Q. Have you heard of him?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And how do you know -- how do you know of him?

22 A. Uh, just through -- through the prison system, and he's --
23 he's a well-known and respected member of The Brand.

24 Q. And have you ever had the occasion to know an AB brother
25 who had contact with John Stinson?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And who is that AB brother?

3 A. Matt Hall, Psycho.

4 Q. Can you tell us just briefly what your relationship was
5 with Matt Hall?

6 A. He was my like my --

7 MR. REED: Objection. If it's related to the
8 previous question --

9 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I had trouble. Did you
10 say --

11 MR. REED: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't turn on my thing.
12 If this is related to the previous question, I don't
13 know what the relevance of this particular question is.

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 Go ahead.

16 BY MS. STOKMAN:

17 Q. What was your relationship with Matt Hall like?

18 A. He was like an older brother.

19 Q. During the course of the time that you knew Matt Hall,
20 were you aware of whether or not he was putting in work for
21 John Stinson?

22 A. I mean, yeah.

23 Q. And was he?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Okay. And around when was that?

1 A. Maybe 2015 or '16.

2 Q. Do you know an individual named Kenneth Johnson?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. How do you know him?

5 A. Uh, I met him through Matt Hall.

6 Q. And does he go by any nickname that you're aware of?

7 A. Yes. "Kenwood."

8 Q. Does he have any affiliation with the AB as far as you
9 know?

10 A. Yes. He's a member.

11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Kenneth Johnson yourself?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Around what time frame would that have been?

14 A. Uh, from maybe 2019 up until the present.

15 Q. And did you know him through Matt Hall prior to that time?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Do you know an individual named Francis Clement?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. How do you know him?

20 A. Also through Matt Hall.

21 Q. And do you know if he goes by any nickname?

22 A. "Frank."

23 Q. Does he have any affiliation with the AB?

24 A. Yes. He's a --

25 Q. What --

1 A. -- member.

2 Q. And have you had any personal contact with Frank Clement?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Around what time frame was that?

5 A. Around -- about the same, from about 2019 up to the
6 present.

7 Q. Do you know an individual named Robert Eversole?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. How do you know him?

10 A. I think I met him through Kenwood.

11 Q. And do you know if he goes by any nickname?

12 A. Yes, Rage.

13 Q. Do you know if he had any affiliation with the AB?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What's that?

16 A. Uh, he was -- he was an associate -- or yeah.

17 Q. And do you know if he worked for any brothers?

18 A. Yes. He worked for Kenwood.

19 Q. Do you know an individual named James Field?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And how do you know him?

22 A. Uh, I met him our first prison term.

23 Q. What nickname does he go by, if any?

24 A. Suspect.

25 Q. Are you aware of whether or not James Field has any gang

1 affiliation?

2 A. Yes. He's a Supreme Power Skin.

3 Q. And that's a street gang?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. What about Evan Perkins, do you know who that is?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. How do you know him?

8 A. He's my friend, close friend.

9 Q. And does he go by any nickname?

10 A. Yeah, Soldier.

11 Q. Does he have any affiliation with a criminal street gang?

12 A. Yes, he's PENI.

13 Q. Last one, there's a lot of names. Do you know an
14 individual named Justin Gray?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And who is that?

17 A. Another friend of mine.

18 Q. Does he go by any nicknames?

19 A. Sidetrack.

20 Q. Are you aware of any street gang affiliation that
21 Justin Gray has?

22 A. Yes, with Baby Blue Wrecking Crew.

23 Q. During your personal interactions with Kenneth Johnson,
24 did there ever come an occasion when you were fined by him?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And tell us the circumstances around that.

2 A. It could be from not answering the phone or, you know,
3 being late to do something or, yeah, not having certain money
4 that I owed him one time. Something like that.

5 Q. And so would you -- were you at any point owing a debt to
6 Kenwood?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Around what time frame was that?

9 A. From -- probably from 2019 up into -- up until present.

10 Q. How much, around 2020, do you think you owed him?

11 A. I mean, I can't say exactly but it would probably be in
12 the thousands.

13 Q. Around late summer of 2020, did you have any conversations
14 with Justin Gray about the Aryan Brotherhood?

15 A. Uh, I mean, I don't know if -- yeah, yeah.

16 Q. Do you know whether or not Justin owed money to any
17 brothers?

18 A. I don't know if he owed money, no.

19 Q. Did someone else related to him owe money that you were
20 aware of?

21 A. Yeah, his little brother owed money.

22 Q. And do you know who his brother owed money to?

23 A. Either Frank or Kenwood.

24 Q. Has -- do you know whether or not Justin Gray has ever
25 served time in prison?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Has he?

3 A. Yes, he has.

4 Q. And do you know if he has ever been celled or shared a
5 cell with an AB brother?

6 A. Yeah, he was celled up with Frank.

7 MS. STOKMAN: If we can display Exhibit 1009. It's
8 been admitted already.

9 BY MS. STOKMAN:

10 Q. Do you know who that is in that picture? Do you recognize
11 him?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Who?

14 A. That's Justin Gray.

15 MS STOKMAN: If we could show just the witness,
16 please, Exhibit 1291.

17 BY MS. STOKMAN:

18 Q. Do you recognize this photograph?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And how do you recognize it?

21 A. It's me and Justin Gray in Vegas.

22 Q. And does that accurately depict you and Justin when you
23 took that photograph in Las Vegas?

24 A. Yes.

25 MS. STOKMAN: Ask to admit Exhibit 1291 and publish

1 to the jury?

2 THE COURT: Any objection?

3 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: No objection.

4 MR. VILLA: No objection.

5 MR. REED: No objection.

6 THE COURT: That will be admitted.

7 (Government's Exhibit 1291 was received.)

8 BY MS. STOKMAN:

9 Q. In this hat -- I mean, sorry, in this picture, you're
10 wearing a hat; right? Are you the individual wearing a hat?

11 A. Yes, I am.

12 Q. Is there a significance to that P on the hat?

13 A. Yes. It's --

14 Q. What is it?

15 A. It's a Pittsburgh Pirates hat but it's known -- worn by
16 PENI gang members.

17 MS. STOKMAN: If we can just show the witness only,
18 please, Exhibit 1292.

19 BY MS. STOKMAN:

20 Q. Do you recognize this photograph?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And how do you recognize it?

23 A. It's me and Justin in Las Vegas also.

24 Q. And is it an accurate depiction of when you took that
25 photograph with Justin in Las Vegas?

1 A. Yes.

2 MS. STOKMAN: If we could admit and publish 1292,
3 please.

4 THE COURT: Any objections?

5 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: No, Your Honor.

6 MR. VILLA: No, Your Honor.

7 MR. REED: No objection.

8 THE COURT: That will be admitted.

9 (Government's Exhibit 1292 was received.)

10 BY MS. STOKMAN:

11 Q. How long have you known Justin?

12 A. Since about 2012.

13 Q. And around what time frame, do you remember, if you
14 remember, were these photos taken?

15 A. That will be 2020. About December, maybe, of 2020.

16 Q. Okay. And during 2020, you said you were friends with
17 Justin. Were you friends with him throughout 2020 or during
18 that time frame?

19 A. Yes, I was.

20 MS. STOKMAN: Okay. We can take down. Thank you.

21 BY MS. STOKMAN:

22 Q. Do you know an individual named Lana Haley?

23 A. Yes, I do.

24 Q. How do you know her?

25 A. I grew up with her. I've known her practically all our

1 lives.

2 Q. And in 2020, were you in contact with Lana Haley?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Did there come a point in time in 2020 when you bought
5 anything from Lana?

6 A. Yes, I bought a motorcycle helmet from her.

7 Q. And where did you put that helmet?

8 A. I had it on my boat.

9 Q. Did there come a point in time where something happened to
10 that helmet?

11 A. Yeah, it was stolen. My boat was broken into and it was
12 stolen.

13 Q. Was that the only thing stolen?

14 A. No, there was a drone that was taken.

15 Q. And did you ever learn who stole those items?

16 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection. Foundation.

17 THE COURT: Sustained.

18 BY MS. STOKMAN:

19 Q. So now I'm going to direct your attention to -- actually
20 let me ask you one question.

21 You said your boat. Did you live on that boat or
22 something else?

23 A. Yeah, I lived on it.

24 Q. Okay. I'm going to direct your attention around the time
25 of October 4th of 2020. Where were you on that evening of

1 October 4th, 2020?

2 A. I was -- I was on my boat and then, uh, in Lomita.

3 Q. So you were on your boat first?

4 A. Yeah.

5 Q. Where's your boat located?

6 A. In Redondo Beach.

7 Q. At any point during the evening of October 4th, 2020, did
8 you speak with Justin Gray?

9 A. Yes, I did.

10 Q. And what was the means by which you were speaking with
11 him?

12 A. He called me on the phone.

13 Q. What did he say?

14 A. He told me, he said that -- he asked if I could come meet
15 up with him, that he needed my help with something.

16 Q. And did you know what he needed your help with?

17 A. No.

18 MS STOKMAN: If we can show just the witness
19 Exhibit 1294, please?

20 BY MS. STOKMAN:

21 Q. Do you recognize that?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. What is that?

24 A. It's Facebook messages between me and Justin.

25 Q. And are those accurate messages between and you Justin

1 around the time frame of October 3rd and October 4th of 2020?

2 A. Yes.

3 MS. STOKMAN: Ask to admit 1294 and publish to the
4 jury.

5 THE COURT: Any objections?

6 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: No, Your Honor.

7 MR. REED: No objection.

8 MR. VILLA: Just the previous, with respect to the
9 certifications.

10 THE COURT: Right. That's overruled.

11 Anything, Mr. Reed?

12 All right. That will be admitted.

13 (Government's Exhibit 1294 was received.)

14 MS. STOKMAN: If we can go to page 2, please.

15 BY MS. STOKMAN:

16 Q. In the first message where Justin's contacting you on
17 October 3rd, 2020, do you see where he says: Get at me, we
18 have something to do, or We have to do something?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Did you ask him at that point what that was?

21 A. No.

22 Q. And it looks like there were a lot missed calls between
23 then and October 4th. Is it -- is that accurate?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. So when you received the call from Justin on October 4th,

1 that's when he said again to you, We have something to go do?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Uh, where it says, in the middle, "Bam, I'm at the room.

4 Where are you at," what is that referring to?

5 A. Uh, the hotel room in -- in Lomita, the Eldorado Inn.

6 MS. STOKMAN: We can take this down, please.

7 BY MS. STOKMAN:

8 Q. On October 4th, 2020, after you received the call from
9 Justin while on your boat, did you end up meeting him
10 somewhere?

11 A. Yes, I met him at the Eldorado Inn.

12 Q. And why did you go without knowing what you were doing?

13 A. Because he's my friend. He's asking for my help.

14 Q. Did you receive a call before you went to the hotel from
15 any AB brothers?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Who did you receive a call from?

18 A. From Frank.

19 Q. And what did Frank tell you during that call?

20 A. He told me that I needed to go help Justin and he said
21 that there's -- that's he's got a way for me to work off some
22 of the money that I owed them.

23 Q. Did he say who you owed specifically?

24 A. Well, I mean, I -- I owed Kenwood, so that's who I figured
25 he was referring to.

1 MR. VILLA: Objection as to speculation.

2 THE COURT: Sustained.

3 MR. VILLA: Ask to strike.

4 THE COURT: That will be stricken.

5 BY MS. STOKMAN:

6 Q. So tell us again what -- what he said to you.

7 MR. VILLA: Objection. Asked and answered.

8 THE COURT: Sustained.

9 BY MS. STOKMAN:

10 Q. When you said that Frank said you needed to go meet up
11 with Justin and there was a way to work off your debt, who did
12 you owe money to at that point?

13 MR. VILLA: Objection. Asked and answered.

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 THE WITNESS: I owed Kenwood money.

16 BY MS. STOKMAN:

17 Q. So what did you take that to mean, that conversation?

18 MR. VILLA: Objection. Speculation.

19 THE COURT: Overruled.

20 BY MS. STOKMAN:

21 Q. You can answer.

22 A. Just that I needed to go -- go help Justin out with
23 something that would help reduce my debt.

24 Q. And did you go meet up with Justin?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Who was at the room when you arrived?

2 A. Justin, Matt O'Day, and Sarah Castillo.

3 Q. At some point in time when you were in the room, do you
4 recall whether or not Justin was on the phone?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And was he?

7 A. Yes, he was.

8 Q. At that point, did Justin give you any more details about
9 what you needed to do?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What did he tell you?

12 A. Uh, he said that somebody -- somebody needed to get
13 killed.

14 Q. Did he tell you why?

15 A. Not at the time, no.

16 Q. So he tells you someone needs to get killed, and does he
17 give you any more information beyond that?

18 A. No.

19 Q. So what happened after that?

20 A. Uh, I mean, we were kind of just discussing, you know,
21 places where maybe it could be -- where it could happen. Uh,
22 yeah.

23 Q. At some point, did you leave the room?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And why did you leave the room?

1 A. Uh, well, he got a message, a message or a call -- I
2 don't -- that -- that the person was down the street.

3 Q. And so at that point you left?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And what hotel was this again?

6 A. The Eldorado. Eldorado Inn in Lomita.

7 Q. When you left the hotel, who did you leave with?

8 A. Just me and Justin.

9 Q. And what car were you in?

10 A. In my BMW.

11 Q. Where did you go from there?

12 A. We drove down PCH to Oak and turned right.

13 Q. And did you at some point come to a stop?

14 A. Yeah. We were kind of just driving up and down the street
15 until we saw a car, a black Volkswagen, and he said, "I think
16 that's" -- "I think that's him."

17 And he got out of the car and -- and over to the
18 person in the other car, and he told them to pull over
19 somewhere. And he got back in the car. And that's -- he told
20 me that there was two people.

21 Q. So when you say he saw a car or he said that must be him,
22 who was saying that?

23 A. That was Justin. Justin said that.

24 Q. And so Justin got out of the car and went to speak to the
25 person in the Volkswagen?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And that's when he returned. And it was Justin who said
3 there's two of them?

4 A. Yeah.

5 Q. Okay. And was there any more discussion at that point
6 about what that meant?

7 A. No.

8 Q. So between -- and at that point, what did Justin tell you
9 to do?

10 A. He told me to drive down 255th, or whatever that street is
11 right there, and park.

12 Q. And that location where you parked on 255th, about how far
13 was that from the hotel or motel that you came from?

14 A. Maybe two blocks.

15 Q. Okay. So what happened when you parked your car?

16 A. Uh, I saw that there was two individuals on the sidewalk.

17 Q. Before you saw them on the sidewalk, what happened to that
18 Volkswagen that Justin had gone to speak with the person
19 inside? Did they follow you or something else?

20 A. Yeah. They had followed us to -- down that street and
21 parked a little bit behind us.

22 Q. Okay. So you saw two individuals outside of that vehicle?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And what did you do?

25 A. I just got out of the car and walked over to the sidewalk,

1 and, uh -- and just kind of like, you know, said what's up to
2 them.

3 Q. And what happened then?

4 A. Uh, Justin walked around me, and -- and I just heard the
5 gunshot, and I saw one of them drop. And I -- at that point,
6 I -- I was kind of surprised, you know. I was startled
7 because I didn't know anything was going to happen right
8 there. And I -- you know, so we just ran. And I heard the
9 second gunshot, but we just ran back to the car.

10 Q. Uh, where were you standing in relation to Justin when you
11 heard those -- those gunshots?

12 A. I would be just to the left of him.

13 Q. And did you see him fire the gun?

14 A. I didn't see it, no.

15 Q. But the gun -- the sound came from Justin's area?

16 A. Yeah.

17 Q. Okay. Did you have a gun on you?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And where was that gun at that time?

20 A. It was in my waistband.

21 Q. And do you know what kind of gun Justin had?

22 A. It was a 9mm. I don't know the -- what kind it was.

23 Q. The gun that you had, at any point did you fire it?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Did you take it out of your waistband?

1 A. No.

2 Q. How come?

3 A. I -- I -- I had no reason to. I didn't -- I didn't know
4 anything was going to happen right there.

5 Q. Was there something about the location that caused you to
6 think that?

7 A. Yeah. I mean, we were right in the middle of a
8 neighborhood, and this was, you know, at 2:00 in the morning
9 or some-odd hour where it was just a -- you know, not a place
10 that I would call a place to do something like that.

11 Q. So going into that meeting, were you aware of how many
12 people were supposed to show up?

13 A. I mean, I just heard that it was one person.

14 Q. And before you arrived at that area of Lomita, what was
15 your understanding about what your role was in being there
16 with Justin?

17 A. Uh, I mean, I wasn't clear on it. I mean, I was just
18 there to have his back, I guess, you'd say.

19 Q. So you said that after the second shot, you both ran back
20 to the car. What happened next?

21 A. We drove back to the PCH and kept driving to the freeway
22 on-ramp in Harbor City, the 110 South.

23 Q. Where did you ultimately end up?

24 A. We ended up in San Pedro at Justin's mom's boyfriend's
25 house.

1 Q. At any point did Justin tell you why that shooting
2 happened?

3 A. Yeah. He said that --

4 MR. VILLA: Objection. Hearsay.

5 THE COURT: Ms. Stokman, your comments?

6 MS. STOKMAN: Statement of a coconspirator.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 MR. VILLA: After the conspiracy, Judge.

9 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you, Mr. Villa.

10 MR. VILLA: It was after the murder took place.

11 THE COURT: Overruled.

12 BY MS. STOKMAN:

13 Q. What did he say?

14 A. He said that a person had disrespected Frank.

15 Q. How long did you stay at the house in San Pedro?

16 A. About an hour.

17 Q. And then where did you go?

18 A. I drove -- I ended up in Laguna Beach.

19 Q. And did something significant happen to you there?

20 A. Yeah. I was pulled over and arrested for DUI.

21 Q. And is that an arrest that occurred on October 4, 2020,
22 for a DUI, driving with a suspended license, and possess of
23 pills?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Okay. I'm going to direct your attention now to 2022.

1 Who were you mostly hanging out with around that
2 time, like the early part of 2022?

3 A. Evan Perkins, Matt O'Day, James Yagle, Jimbo, and
4 Ronnie Ennis.

5 Q. So you mentioned Matt O'Day. How do you know him?

6 A. He's a fellow PENI member.

7 Q. And you mentioned Jimbo, or James Yagle. How do you know
8 him?

9 A. Uh, he's been my friend for a good amount of time, another
10 PENI member.

11 Q. And what about Ronnie Ennis? How do you know him?

12 A. He's another PENI member. I don't -- I wasn't as close to
13 him, but I've known him for a little while.

14 Q. At any point around the early parts of 2022, were you
15 aware of whether or not Evan Perkins had owed a debt to anyone
16 in the AB?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And who did he owe money to?

19 A. He owed Kenwood.

20 Q. Do you know the circumstances around why he owed money?

21 A. Yeah. He was -- he was doing the EDD fraud, and he was
22 attempting to kick up money to -- to the brothers. So he gave
23 Kenwood some profiles to do the EDD fraud with, and he offered
24 to do the fraud himself and then just give the money or the
25 cards to Kenwood. But I guess Kenwood had somebody or some

1 way to do it himself, so -- but --

2 MR. VILLA: Objection as to speculation.

3 THE COURT: Sustained.

4 BY MS. STOKMAN:

5 Q. At any point, did Evan tell you why he owed money from --
6 from that EDD?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. What did he say?

9 A. Uh, that -- that they had -- Kenwood did the -- or
10 attempted to do the EDD fraud with those profiles and that it
11 didn't work. So he fined Evan the maximum amount of money
12 that could have possibly been made from each profile.

13 Q. And around how much money did Evan owe around the early
14 parts of 2022?

15 A. Up -- almost a half a million dollars, I believe.

16 Q. And was Evan, to your knowledge, paying that money back?

17 A. No.

18 Q. What was the consequence of that?

19 A. He was in the hat. He was --

20 MR. VILLA: Objection. Lack of foundation.

21 THE COURT: Sustained.

22 BY MS. STOKMAN:

23 Q. How do you know what the consequence was for Evan?

24 A. I -- I mean, I know that he was in trouble. That's --

25 Q. And who told you that?

1 A. The brothers, Kenwood.

2 Q. What did they -- what did they tell you?

3 A. Uh, just that he was in the hat and that until he made
4 things right, that that was it.

5 Q. What does "being in the hat" mean?

6 A. That means you're targeted for violence or death.

7 MS. STOKMAN: Just one second.

8 If we can bring up Exhibit 1288 just for the witness,
9 please, at page 2.

10 BY MS. STOKMAN:

11 Q. Do you recognize that photograph?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. How do you recognize it?

14 A. It's Ronnie, Jimbo, me, and Matt O'Day.

15 Q. And is that an accurate depiction of how you-all looked
16 when you took that photograph?

17 A. Yes.

18 MS. STOKMAN: Ask to admit 1288, page 2, and to
19 publish to the jury.

20 THE COURT: Any objections?

21 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: No, Your Honor.

22 MR. REED: No objection.

23 MR. VILLA: No, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: That will be admitted.

25 (Government's Exhibit 1288, page 2 was received.)

1 BY MS. STOKMAN:

2 Q. So looking at that photograph, going from left to right,
3 tell us again who those individuals are?

4 A. That's Ronnie Ennis, James Yagle, myself, and Matt O'Day.

5 MS. STOKMAN: Around the earlier part -- and we can
6 take that down, please.

7 BY MS. STOKMAN:

8 Q. Around the earlier part of 2022, did you know where Evan
9 was living?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And where was that?

12 A. In an apartment in Bellflower off of Park Street.

13 Q. Did you ever stay at that apartment?

14 A. Yes.

15 MS. STOKMAN: If we can please show Exhibit 1141.

16 It's already been admitted.

17 BY MS. STOKMAN:

18 Q. Do you recognize what's in this photograph?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. What is it?

21 A. That's the stairs leading to Evan's apartment.

22 MS. STOKMAN: And if we can show 1144, please. It's
23 already been admitted.

24 BY MS. STOKMAN:

25 Q. Do you recognize what's in that photograph?

1 A. Yes. It's Evan's living room and kitchen.

2 MS. STOKMAN: And 1148, please. It's also already
3 been admitted.

4 BY MS. STOKMAN:

5 Q. What's being shown in this photograph?

6 A. Uh, two hats, two Pittsburgh Pirates hats.

7 Q. And is that with the "P" that you said is significant to
8 PENI?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Did you know where those hats were hanging?

11 A. Yes. Like, in the -- on the cupboard of Evan's apartment.

12 Q. Did you -- uh, did you know whether or not James Field
13 ever went to Perkins' apartment?

14 A. Yes, he did.

15 Q. And around what time frame was that?

16 A. Late February of '22.

17 Q. When Field was in Evan's apartment, did he give you
18 anything?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. What did he give you?

21 A. He gave me a chopped-up barrel from a 9mm.

22 Q. And what did you do with that?

23 A. I threw it off the freeway into a body of water.

24 Q. Did you know whether or not Field had used that gun?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And had he?

2 A. Yes, he had.

3 Q. Did you know what it was used for?

4 A. Yes. For a -- for a homicide in Lancaster.

5 Q. Were you aware of the facts surrounding that murder?

6 A. Yes, some of them.

7 Q. And were you aware --

8 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection.

9 THE COURT: Sustained.

10 MS. STOKMAN: Sorry. It was --

11 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Foundation.

12 THE COURT: -- foundation.

13 BY MS. STOKMAN:

14 Q. How did you know about that?

15 A. He told me.

16 Q. And did he tell you about a robbery that occurred in
17 Hollywood?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Did you know about that robbery prior to James Field
20 telling you about it?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. How?

23 A. Frank had told us that we were going to be going out there
24 to help these guys rob a house in Hollywood.

25 Q. Who was supposed to go?

1 A. Me, Jimbo, Ronnie, and Joe Dirt.

2 Q. Joe Dirt?

3 A. Yeah.

4 Q. Do you know -- is that a nickname?

5 A. Yeah. It's Joseph Brutton.

6 (Court reporter gains clarification.)

7 BY MS. STOKMAN:

8 Q. And did you end up going to help with that robbery?

9 A. Yes. We went out there, but by the time we got near the
10 location, it was already called off. Something had happened.

11 MS. STOKMAN: Okay. If we can look at Exhibit 1289,
12 for the witness only, page 2, please.

13 BY MS. STOKMAN:

14 Q. Do you recognize that photograph?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. How do you recognize it?

17 A. That's a picture of me and James Field.

18 Q. And does that accurately reflect how you looked on the day
19 this picture was taken?

20 A. Yes.

21 MS. STOKMAN: Can we please admit and publish to the
22 jury 1289, page 2.

23 THE COURT: Any objections?

24 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: No, Your Honor.

25 MR. REED: No objection.

1 MR. VILLA: No, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: That'll be admitted.

3 (Government's Exhibit 1289, page 2, was received.)

4 BY MS. STOKMAN:

5 Q. And is that you again in the hat with the "P" on it?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay. Where was this photo taken? Do you recall?

8 A. I believe Evan's apartment.

9 MS. STOKMAN: And if we can show 1299. It's been
10 admitted already.

11 BY MS. STOKMAN:

12 Q. Do you know where this photograph was taken?

13 A. Yes. Evan's apartment.

14 Q. And that's you and who else?

15 A. Me and James Field.

16 Q. Are you the one in the shirt with the "P" on it?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. What hand gesture are you making?

19 A. Uh, a "P."

20 Q. What does that signify?

21 A. For PENI.

22 MS. STOKMAN: Thank you. We can take that down.

23 BY MS. STOKMAN:

24 Q. Do you know whether or not Evan Perkins had any issues
25 with Ronnie Ennis around the time of late February, early

1 March 2022?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And did he?

4 A. Yes, he did.

5 Q. Did you ever have issues with Ronnie?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Did you have any issues around, then, with Jimbo?

8 A. Disagreements, yeah.

9 Q. And what were the cause of the issues you had with Ronnie
10 around that time?

11 A. Well, Ronnie and -- Ronnie and Jimbo were trying to get me
12 to open the door -- get the door open to Evan's apartment so
13 they can go in and, you know, hurt him or rob him.

14 Q. And how did that create issues for yourself?

15 A. I got into a fight with Jimbo and Ronnie.

16 Q. And did you have any injuries to your face from that
17 fight?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What did you have?

20 A. I had a black eye and, like, some cuts on my face and
21 maybe a fat lip.

22 Q. And at some point around that time, did you actually go to
23 Evan's apartment with Ronnie and Jimbo?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And what, if anything, did they do while they were in the

1 apartment?

2 A. I mean, they just kind of made themselves at home, and
3 Ronnie started, like, filling in a duffle bag up with clothes
4 and stuff that belonged to Evan. He was taking it for
5 himself.

6 Q. Did you speak with Frank about this incident at any point?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. What did he say?

9 A. He said that Ronnie wasn't supposed to take anything. And
10 that -- that the only reason why they were there was to secure
11 the apartment and make Evan -- put Evan back in contact with
12 them.

13 Q. Who is "them"?

14 A. The brothers.

15 Q. After that incident at Evan's apartment, did Frank contact
16 you like around the early parts of March of 2022 with
17 something else that he wanted you to be a part of?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And what -- what did he say? What was that?

20 A. Uh, one -- another PENI member named Shifty, he had --
21 he -- his house -- or his apartment had gotten raided by law
22 enforcement, and they made a -- they put a newspaper article
23 out that showed a bunch of money and drugs being confiscated,
24 and I mean, I think Frank was just curious as to why, if he
25 was making all that money, why he wasn't paying anybody.

1 Q. And what -- what did you do -- or what did Frank tell you
2 to do?

3 A. He told us to get Shifty and -- and put him in contact
4 with him.

5 Q. And did you do that?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. At some point when you were in contact with Shifty, did
8 another individual come into the picture related to that
9 incident?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Who was that?

12 A. Rick Rainey.

13 Q. And who's Rick Rainey?

14 A. He's an Aryan Brotherhood member.

15 Q. What, if anything, happened to Shifty and Rick Rainey as
16 it pertains to this incident?

17 A. I mean, we had them tied and held hostage in Evan's
18 apartment.

19 Q. Who told you to do that?

20 A. Frank.

21 Q. And during this time, was Frank instructing you to do
22 anything else to Rick Rainey?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. What was he telling you to do?

25 A. Uh, I mean, if he was -- if he was asleep, you know, he

1 would -- you know, he would be -- he would be out in like a
2 video visit and tell me to wake him up by kicking him in the
3 face, and if he was smoking a cigarette, he would tell me to
4 put the cigarette out on his face.

5 Q. So you were saying "he" would tell you. Who's telling you
6 that?

7 A. Frank.

8 Q. Who would have been the person you kicked or put the
9 cigarette on their face?

10 A. Rick Rainey.

11 Q. At any point in time, did you get an order to kill
12 Rick Rainey?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. What was that?

15 A. We were told that we were going to have to give him a hot
16 shot of fentanyl.

17 Q. What does that do?

18 A. It's just a -- it would be a lethal dose of fentanyl,
19 given through a syringe.

20 Q. How did that order make you feel?

21 A. Very --

22 MR. VILLA: Object to the relevance.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

24 BY MS. STOKMAN:

25 Q. Go ahead.

1 A. Very uncomfortable. Very uneasy.

2 Q. Why?

3 A. Uh, because he was -- Rick Rainey is an Aryan Brotherhood
4 member, and that's a big part of their rules and structure,
5 that, you know, a regular -- a regular convict or white man to
6 put his hands on a made member is a death sentence.

7 Q. But this was an order coming from a brother himself,
8 right?

9 MR. VILLA: Objection. Leading.

10 THE COURT: Sustained.

11 BY MS. STOKMAN:

12 Q. So how does that work? Why were you not wanting to follow
13 that order from Frank?

14 A. Well, because that would have -- that would be something
15 that would have to be approved by the commission, and, you
16 know, other brothers. And I -- I just didn't know if that was
17 something that they -- that they would all be in agreement on.

18 Q. Did Frank tell you whether or not this had been approved?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And what did he say?

21 A. He -- he just said that all the -- that it was sanctioned
22 by the brothers.

23 Q. Uh, and that didn't cause you to still follow that order?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Why?

1 A. I mean, that's just something that's -- you know, I was --
2 uh, I mean, then once you do that, it's -- you know, you're
3 committed and there's no going back from it, obviously.

4 Q. Do you know of whether or not Rick Rainey was particularly
5 close to any other AB brothers?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Who was he close to?

8 A. He was close to John.

9 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection. Foundation.

10 THE COURT: Sustained.

11 BY MS. STOKMAN:

12 Q. How did you know that information?

13 A. Uh, I just know through -- I mean, Rick has told me that
14 and --

15 Q. And who is he close to?

16 A. To John.

17 Q. John Stinson?

18 A. Yeah.

19 Q. Okay. So what ultimately happened to Shifty and
20 Rick Rainey?

21 A. Well, I mean, we had them tied up and held hostage for,
22 like, four days and none of us were sleeping. It was just a
23 really stressful time. And me and Evan were trying to find
24 any way that we could, you know, get -- you know, find another
25 solution. You know, we wanted to hopefully get in contact

1 with maybe somebody else and see, you know, if that was really
2 what we were supposed to do.

3 And I told -- I gave Matt my gun and I -- and I told
4 him to watch these guys while me and Evan were in the other
5 room talking.

6 Q. Is that Matt O'Day?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And so did you leave Matt O'Day in the room with Shifty
9 and Rick Rainey?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And what happened?

12 A. I think Matt might have --

13 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection.

14 MR. REED: Speculation.

15 BY MS. STOKMAN:

16 Q. Just from what you know what happened?

17 A. Well, Rick got his hands on the gun and --

18 MR. REED: Objection. Lack of foundation.

19 THE COURT: Sustained.

20 BY MS. STOKMAN:

21 Q. Did something happen that caused Rick Rainey and Shifty to
22 leave the apartment?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And when you had left him in the room with Matt, had they
25 been tied up?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Okay. So did they -- did Rick Rainey and Shifty -- were
3 you able to catch up with them?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And what happened there?

6 A. Rick started shooting at me.

7 Q. And so then what did you do?

8 A. I got in the car and left.

9 Q. And did you tell Frank about what happened?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And what was his reaction?

12 A. He was very suspicious. He -- he thought that -- that we
13 had let them go.

14 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection.

15 THE COURT: Sustained.

16 BY MS. STOKMAN:

17 Q. Did he tell you anything during your conversation about
18 who was to blame for this?

19 A. Yeah.

20 MR. REED: Objection. Calls for a conclusion of
21 another person, which he then testified to. Lacks foundation.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 BY MS. STOKMAN:

24 Q. Who did Frank say was to blame?

25 A. Jimbo.

1 Q. And what about Ronnie?

2 A. Uh --

3 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection. Leading.

4 THE COURT: Sustained.

5 BY MS. STOKMAN:

6 Q. Did he say anything about Ronnie?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Turning your attention now to March 8, 2022, during the
9 evening hours of that day where were you?

10 A. I was in Bellflower at Evan's apartment.

11 Q. Who were you with there?

12 A. Me, Evan, and Matt O'Day.

13 Q. Did you leave the apartment at some point?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And where did you go?

16 A. We went and met up with James Field at the Starlite Inn
17 Motel.

18 Q. Where is that located?

19 A. In Bellflower.

20 MS. STOKMAN: If we can show previously admitted
21 Exhibit 1001, please.

22 BY MS. STOKMAN:

23 Q. Who is that?

24 A. That's Evan Perkins.

25 MS. STOKMAN: And if we can show previously admitted

1 Exhibit 1003, please.

2 BY MS. STOKMAN:

3 Q. Who is that individual?

4 A. That's James Field.

5 MS. STOKMAN: And finally, already admitted

6 Exhibit 1014.

7 BY MS. STOKMAN:

8 Q. Who is that?

9 A. That's Matt O'Day.

10 MS. STOKMAN: Okay. We can take that down. Thank
11 you.

12 BY MS. STOKMAN:

13 Q. So the four of you are at the Starlite Motel?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And did you stay at that motel?

16 A. Not for long.

17 Q. Where did you go?

18 A. We went to Jimbo's house.

19 Q. Why did you go to Jimbo's house from there?

20 A. To retrieve a couple of duffle bags that had some property
21 in it from Shifty.

22 Q. What car did you go in?

23 A. In Evan's Charger.

24 Q. And who went with you?

25 A. Me, Evan, Matt O'Day, and James Field.

1 MS. STOKMAN: If we can show 1161, which has already
2 been admitted into evidence, please.

3 BY MS. STOKMAN:

4 Q. Do you recognize that vehicle?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And what is that?

7 A. It's Evan's Charger.

8 Q. Is that the car that you went in that night?

9 A. Yes.

10 MS. STOKMAN: Okay. We can take that down. Thank
11 you.

12 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Stokman, we're going to
13 go ahead and take our last break of the day. Let's plan to be
14 back at ten minutes after the hour.

15 (Jury exits the courtroom at 11:54 a.m.)

16 THE COURT: All right. The jury has stepped out.
17 Let's take our break.

18 (Recess held.)

19 THE COURT: All right. Is everyone ready for the
20 jury?

21 MS. STOKMAN: Yes.

22 THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and bring them
23 in.

24 (Jury enters the courtroom at 12:14 p.m.)

25 THE COURT: All right. We have everyone in their

1 place.

2 Ms. Stokman, you may continue.

3 MS. STOKMAN: Yes. Thank you.

4 BY MS. STOKMAN:

5 Q. So before we took the break, you were saying that after
6 you and Evan and James Field and Matt O'Day left the motel you
7 went to Jimbo's house. Where is Jimbo's house located?

8 A. In Lakewood, on Palo Verde Street.

9 Q. And can you describe what his house looks like for us?

10 A. It's the corner house. It's got a -- it's got a garage
11 that's not attached, and yeah, like a cinder block wall around
12 the outside.

13 Q. And what happened when you reached that location?

14 A. We got the duffle bags. And at that point, James Field
15 told us that Frank wanted Ronnie to come with us.

16 Q. Where was Ronnie?

17 A. Ronnie had been in -- in Jimbo's garage.

18 Q. So James Field told you that Frank wanted Ronnie to come
19 with you?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Uh, and did you know why that needed to happen?

22 A. Well, we knew that Ronnie was in trouble and that
23 something was going to happen to him.

24 Q. So did you leave Jimbo's house at some point?

25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And what car did you leave in?
- 2 A. Myself and Evan and Ronnie left in Evan's Charger.
- 3 Q. And were other people in another car?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And who -- who was in the other car?
- 6 A. Suspect, Matt, and Jimbo left in another car.
- 7 Q. Do you know what kind of car that was?
- 8 A. Yes, a silver Mercedes.
- 9 Q. Did you have a gun on you that night?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. What kind?
- 12 A. I had a P80 Ghost Gun, a 9mm.
- 13 Q. And do you know whether or not Evan Perkins had a firearm
- 14 on him?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What did he have?
- 17 A. He had a 40 -- a 40 Cal.
- 18 Q. And do you know what type of gun James Field had?
- 19 A. Yes, he had a -- he had a 45 1911.
- 20 Q. And did you see him possess any other gun that night?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Do you know whether or not Ronnie had a gun?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And what a kind of gun did he have?
- 25 A. Ronnie had a -- a 9mm also, a P80.

1 Q. Do you know what happened to that gun?

2 A. Yes. Suspect had taken it.

3 Q. Had taken Ronnie's gun?

4 A. Yeah.

5 Q. Do you know whether or not Matt O'Day had a gun with him
6 that night?

7 A. I do not.

8 Q. So after everyone went into those two vehicles, where did
9 you go?

10 A. We went to Evan's apartment and dropped off our phones.

11 Me and Evan dropped off our phones there.

12 Q. Uh, and do you recall Evan's apartment -- do you recall
13 ever going back to the motel at that point?

14 A. Not at that point, no.

15 Q. Okay. Uh, how far way is Evan's apartment from the motel?

16 A. Maybe a -- three blocks.

17 Q. Okay. So you remember going back to the Bellflower area
18 and dropping your phone off and Evan's phone got dropped off?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Where did you go after that?

21 A. We went out to Pomona.

22 Q. And who went to Pomona?

23 A. The same -- the same group in the same cars.

24 Q. Who was driving the lead?

25 A. Evan. We were in the front.

1 Q. Do you know whether or not Evan had another phone on him,
2 besides the one he dropped in Bellflower?

3 A. I don't know if he did.

4 Q. At some point, uh, did you know what was going to happen?

5 A. I mean, I had a -- I had a feeling that --

6 MR. REED: Objection. Nonresponsive.

7 THE COURT: Sustained.

8 BY MS. STOKMAN:

9 Q. Did you know what was going to happen?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Had James Field mentioned anything to you about Ronnie
12 before heading to Pomona?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. What did he say?

15 A. Uh, well, when -- when we were leaving, before we left
16 Jimbo's house, Suspect said that Frank wanted Jimbo to come
17 too.

18 Q. And did he say why?

19 A. No.

20 Q. And --

21 A. But --

22 Q. Go ahead.

23 A. But Suspect knew that that was worrying me because Jimbo
24 was my friend and, you know, I didn't want anything to happen
25 to him. So we kind of agreed at that point, that he --

1 Suspect made a comment. He said, Well, if anything, we'll
2 just -- Jimbo is going to have to take care of Ronnie.

3 Q. What did you take that to mean?

4 A. That Jimbo would have to kill Ronnie.

5 MS. STOKMAN: If we can bring up Exhibit 1837,
6 please. It's already been admitted. And we can play that.

7 (Video played in open court.)

8 BY MS. STOKMAN:

9 Q. And at any time you see something that you recognize, let
10 us know.

11 A. Yes. That's Evan's Charger and the Mercedes following it.

12 Q. Was this on their way or within Pomona?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. So what happened when you arrived at Pomona?

15 A. We pulled over on a really dark street, like in a
16 residential area. And I -- I got out of the car, and I
17 started walking back towards the other car because I wanted to
18 make sure that everything was okay with Jimbo. And --

19 Q. And what happened?

20 A. I saw Jimbo and -- and Suspect behind the car, and I heard
21 the gunshot, and -- and I saw Jimbo drop.

22 Q. And did you see who shot him?

23 A. Yeah.

24 Q. Who was that?

25 A. Suspect.

1 Q. What did you do at that point?

2 A. Uh, I started walking back towards the other car because I
3 heard a -- I heard, like, some screaming. And I saw Ronnie.
4 Ronnie was screaming on the -- in the dirt. And -- and, yeah,
5 I saw Evan, like, kind of messing with his gun, and he told me
6 that it had jammed.

7 Q. And at this point, could you tell if Ronnie was hurt?

8 A. Yeah.

9 Q. Was he?

10 A. Yeah, he was hurt.

11 Q. Could you tell if he had been shot?

12 A. Yeah.

13 Q. And had he?

14 A. Yeah.

15 Q. But did you see who shot him?

16 A. No.

17 Q. So what did you do?

18 A. I -- I pulled my gun out, and -- and I shot Ronnie three
19 times.

20 Q. Why did you do that?

21 A. Because, I mean, he was lying on the floor screaming.

22 Q. And then what happened after that?

23 A. We got back in the car.

24 Q. And did you shoot your gun any more after that first few
25 times that you shot Ronnie?

1 A. Yeah. I -- I -- we got in the car. I saw that he was
2 still moving. And -- and I rolled down the window, and I shot
3 three more times.

4 Q. What did you do at that point?

5 A. We -- we drove and got back on the freeway and drove back
6 to Bellflower.

7 MS. STOKMAN: If we can show the witness -- I'm
8 sorry -- show everybody the display 1839, which has already
9 been admitted into evidence. And go to around Minute 13:50.

10 (Video played in open court.)

11 BY MS. STOKMAN:

12 Q. Do you recognize anything in this video?

13 A. Yeah. It's the Charger, Evan's Charger.

14 Q. Is that where you were riding?

15 A. Yes. And that's the --

16 Q. You were -- yeah. Go ahead.

17 A. -- Mercedes.

18 Q. That was the Mercedes, you said?

19 A. Yeah.

20 Q. And at this point, do you know who was driving the
21 Mercedes?

22 A. Suspect was.

23 Q. Okay. So you said you went back to the motel?

24 A. Yeah.

25 Q. Which motel was that?

1 A. Starlite. Starlite Inn.

2 Q. This was the one that you had previously been when you met
3 up with James Field initially?

4 A. Yeah.

5 Q. Okay. Who were you with at that -- at that time?

6 A. Uh, me and Evan were in the Charger, and Matt and Suspect
7 were in the Mercedes, and we met back at the hotel.

8 Q. And did they meet back at the hotel at the same time as
9 you and Evan?

10 A. Within -- within three minutes, four minutes, something
11 like that.

12 Q. Do you know if they made any stops on the way?

13 A. Yes. They were running out of gas, and they stopped and
14 got some gas.

15 Q. So what happened when you-all were back in the motel room
16 together?

17 A. Uh, we were just kind of confused -- or, I mean, I was,
18 you know, about everything that happened. And -- and Suspect
19 was on the phone with Frank, and --

20 Q. Could you hear what Frank was saying?

21 A. Yeah.

22 Q. What did he say?

23 A. I just heard him say, "Why did Jimbo get shot?"

24 Q. And what, if anything, did Suspect say?

25 A. He explained that he had given Jimbo Ronnie's gun, or a

1 gun, and he got worried that Jimbo was going to shoot him,
2 and -- and he panicked and he shot Jimbo.

3 Q. Did he mention anything about Jimbo lying?

4 A. Yeah.

5 Q. What did he say?

6 A. He said -- he said that Frank said that if he lies at all,
7 shoot him in the face.

8 Q. What happened to the guns that everybody used that night?

9 A. I put them in a backpack, and I took them down to the --
10 to the Redondo breakwall down at the ocean, and I disbursed
11 them, like, in -- in the caves in the rocks.

12 Q. Do you recall what phone number you had around March 8,
13 2022?

14 A. I don't.

15 Q. Would it -- you recall what the area code might have been
16 on any phone you were using?

17 A. It would be a -- it would be a 310 or 424.

18 Q. Okay. Do you know what the email address associated with
19 Shawn Lasley? Do you know about that email address or the
20 name Shawn Lasley?

21 A. Yeah, something that Evan would use.

22 Q. Okay. Have you ever had a phone subscribed to that name?

23 A. Yeah. I mean, I could have if Evan had given me a phone.

24 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection, Your Honor. Move
25 to strike.

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

2 BY MS. STOKMAN:

3 Q. Did you ever receive phones from Evan to use?

4 A. Yeah.

5 Q. And were those phones already working, or did you have to
6 set -- set the subscriber up?

7 A. They would already be in service.

8 Q. And when Evan would do that for you, was there any time
9 that he would use a name that wasn't your own, as far as the
10 subscriber?

11 A. Yes.

12 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection, Your Honor.

13 Leading.

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 BY MS. STOKMAN:

16 Q. You said "yes"?

17 A. Yeah.

18 Q. And is there any relation to that, in your recollection,
19 to the Shawn Lasley name?

20 A. Not -- not particularly a phone that he gave me under that
21 name, but, you know --

22 Q. Okay. But you know that Evan was using that name?

23 A. Yeah.

24 Q. Okay. When you were in the room and Frank was on
25 speakerphone, did Frank say anything about Jimbo at that time?

1 A. Not -- not aside from asking -- asking why he got shot.

2 Q. And was that before or after you heard James Field say
3 what he told you about shooting Jimbo?

4 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection, Your Honor. Asked
5 and answered.

6 THE COURT: Before or after hasn't been asked.

7 That's overruled.

8 BY MS. STOKMAN:

9 Q. Did Frank say that before or after?

10 A. He said that before -- before Suspect explained.

11 Q. Okay. You were arrested for these murders that you've
12 talked about here today at some point; is that true?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And are those the charges that you spoke of that you pled
15 guilty to?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Where were you housed when you came over on those charges?

18 A. The Fresno County Jail.

19 Q. And who were you with when you arrived at the
20 Fresno County Jail?

21 A. When I arrived, it was just me and Frank and Kenwood.

22 Q. And did someone else ever show up at the same cell
23 location as you?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Who?

1 A. Justin Gray.

2 Q. Did you ever hear Frank and Justin speaking about Lomita
3 during the time at the Fresno County Jail?

4 A. Not about the crime, no.

5 Q. But Frank or Justin were talking about something
6 surrounding Lomita?

7 THE COURT: I'm sorry. What --

8 MR. VILLA: Objection. Hearsay.

9 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the question.
10 I didn't hear the objections. Everybody was talking.

11 What was your objection, Ms. Barrett?

12 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Leading.

13 THE COURT: Sustained.

14 BY MS. STOKMAN:

15 Q. Did you ever hear Frank and Justin talking about the
16 victim or the circumstances around Lomita?

17 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Leading, Your Honor.

18 MR. VILLA: Hearsay.

19 THE COURT: Sustained --

20 BY MS. STOKMAN:

21 Q. Okay.

22 THE COURT: -- as to leading.

23 BY MS. STOKMAN:

24 Q. Let's talk about you and the cooperation agreement that
25 you entered into.

1 Before you entered into that agreement, was that a
2 decision that you made easily?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Why not?

5 A. I've been involved in this lifestyle for a long time, and
6 it's just something I never thought I'd ever do.

7 Q. Why? Explain that a little bit.

8 A. It's -- uh, you know, you -- you -- it's a -- it's a --
9 it's a high-risk lifestyle, you know, and when you make
10 decisions, I mean, I've always felt that, you know, to be held
11 accountable for them is the right way to go about things.

12 Q. So what made you decide to cooperate?

13 A. I've let -- I've let these guys control my life for -- for
14 a long time now. It's -- it's led me here. I've lost people
15 that I care about. It's ruined a lot of relationships with
16 people I care about.

17 And I mean, it's just taken me a while to learn
18 that -- that I'm not anything like these guys. And -- and I
19 just wanted to make a decision for myself and for my family.

20 Q. Do you have any safety concerns as you sit up here because
21 you're testifying?

22 A. Yeah.

23 Q. What are those?

24 A. Well, I mean, I -- you know, I've put myself on the -- on
25 the other end, you know. I'm -- I'm an enemy of them now.

1 Q. And what could happen?

2 A. I mean, I could be killed, you know.

3 Q. Do you have safety concerns for just yourself or other
4 people as well?

5 A. Yeah. I mean, I think about my family, obviously. Just
6 because, I mean, they're -- this has nothing to do with them,
7 obviously, but I mean, it's not -- you know, things have
8 happened like that.

9 MS. STOKMAN: Okay. Thank you. I have no further
10 questions.

11 THE COURT: Cross-examination.

12 MR. REED: Your Honor, I could question, but can we
13 approach for a second, please?

14 THE COURT: Sure.

15 (Sidebar commences.)

16 MR. REED: I suspect that it was inadvertent, but
17 when the government first started with this guy, he was not
18 facing the death penalty when he -- by the time he cooperated,
19 and that's what it was left at.

20 Because that affects you, I don't want to -- I don't
21 want to do that myself, but I do think it needs to be dealt
22 with. I don't know how to fix that.

23 MS. STOKMAN: I can ask a follow-up question about at
24 the time of cooperation what he was facing.

25 MR. REED: Because it makes it sound like that's his

1 benefit, and that is not his benefit.

2 THE COURT: All right. I will note that you asked a
3 maximum penalty question the other day as well, so --
4 that's -- if you're going to start off that way, then --

5 MS. STOKMAN: Yeah, yeah.

6 MR. REED: Because if I do it, it will be a fight,
7 and I'd rather --

8 THE COURT: In this instance, it's a mandatory
9 minimum of life.

10 MS. STOKMAN: Correct. So I can clear that up.

11 THE COURT: And you're right, he wasn't facing death
12 because death has been off the table for quite some time.

13 MR. REED: Right.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. REED: Thank you.

16 (Sidebar ends.)

17 BY MS. STOKMAN:

18 Q. Just one thing I wanted to clear up, before you had
19 mentioned that you, at one point, there might have been a
20 potential sentence of a death penalty.

21 But that was not what you were looking at when you
22 decided to cooperate, right?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. All right. You were looking at a mandatory life sentence?

25 A. Yes.

1 MS. STOKMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.

2 THE COURT: Cross-examination?

3 MR. REED: I have no questions, Your Honor.

4 MR. VILLA: Yes, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Villa.

6 CROSS-EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. VILLA:

8 Q. Good afternoon, sir.

9 A. Good afternoon.

10 Q. So I guess I'll pick up a little bit where you left off.

11 You said that you were housed at the Fresno County Jail, and,
12 in part, you were housed with Mr. Johnson, correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. How long were you housed there?

15 A. In the same pod as Mr. Johnson?

16 Q. Yes, sir.

17 A. About eight months.

18 Q. Then after that, you were still housed in the
19 Fresno County Jail but in another part of the facility?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. For how long?

22 A. Over a year.

23 Q. Roughly a year and eight months at the Fresno County Jail?

24 A. Yeah, yeah.

25 Q. And during that time, you were not assaulted or attacked,

1 correct?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. You were also housed with -- at the Fresno County Jail --
4 Evan Perkins, "Soldier"?

5 A. I was never in the pod with him, but, yeah, I mean, he
6 was -- he was at the Fresno County Jail at the same time that
7 I was, yeah.

8 Q. For how long?

9 A. Uh, probably a year, roughly.

10 Q. You're saying you're just in different pods?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And to your knowledge, Mr. Perkins was never assaulted
13 while at the Fresno County Jail?

14 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Calls for speculation.

15 THE COURT: To his knowledge? Is that what your
16 asking, Mr. Villa?

17 MR. VILLA: To his knowledge, yes, Judge.

18 MS. STOKMAN: To foundation.

19 THE COURT: Foundation, sustained.

20 BY MR. VILLA:

21 Q. Had you ever heard from any other -- anybody
22 that Mr. Perkins had been assaulted?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Let me talk to you now about Lomita, the October 4, 2020,
25 homicides.

1 Kenneth Johnson never asked you to kill anybody in
2 Lomita, correct?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. And he never talked to you before the murders about doing
5 those murders, correct?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. You said you got a call from Justin Gray, essentially, to
8 meet up with you and you met up with him -- sorry, fighting a
9 cold -- at the Eldorado motel?

10 A. Yeah.

11 Q. And it was at the Eldorado hotel where, according to you,
12 there were discussions about meeting up with the individuals
13 that were ultimately killed; is that right?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. I think you testified that, at the hotel, Matt O'Day was
16 there, a woman named Sarah, correct?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Yourself and Mr. Gray?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And that's it?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. While you were there, you said that Mr. Gray got a phone
23 call, right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And that phone call was in your presence, yes?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Did you know who he was --

3 A. Excuse me. I don't -- I don't know if -- I mean, I -- I
4 can't recall the actual conversation, but he got a call. I
5 don't know if he left the room. I mean -- or to be more
6 clear, I didn't hear the discussion that was going on.

7 Q. All right. So you were -- you were present. At some
8 point he gets a call. You can say he got a call; you can't
9 say if you heard what was discussed or if he stayed in the
10 room for the whole time, right? Is that a "yes"?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. You don't know who he got a call from. Is that what
13 you're saying?

14 A. Right.

15 Q. And that was phone call number one, and then later there
16 was a phone call or I think a -- a message that was a separate
17 phone call, correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. So phone call number one, Mr. Gray's on the phone,
20 according to you, with somebody but you don't know who, right?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. And you weren't party to that phone -- first phone call,
23 correct?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. Nobody on that first call overheard you talking in the

1 background and spoke to you, correct?

2 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Calls for speculation.

3 THE COURT: Sustained.

4 BY MR. VILLA:

5 Q. Whoever was on that phone call with Mr. Gray, you did not
6 talk to, correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. They did not address you, like, through speaker phone or
9 through the phone, Hey, what's up man, nothing like that,
10 right?

11 A. Right.

12 Q. And then the second call that came in was a different call
13 that -- according to you, that Mr. Gray said, Okay, let's go.
14 We're going to go meet this guy, right?

15 A. I don't know if it was a call or a text.

16 Q. Okay. Thanks.

17 So the first one was definitely a phone call. Second
18 one might have been a text --

19 A. Yes, correct.

20 Q. -- yes?

21 And after that second text, you guys then leave, you
22 ultimately go and meet up with the people who get shot in
23 Lomita, according to you, right?

24 A. Right.

25 Q. To the best of your knowledge -- let me back up.

1 You said, when the shooting happened, it was Mr. Gray
2 that shot them; you didn't shoot them?

3 A. Correct, yes.

4 Q. You never took your gun out, right?

5 A. Right.

6 Q. You -- if I understand your testimony correctly, you-all
7 met with these individuals in a black Volkswagen somewhere
8 down the road from where the shooting ultimately occurred,
9 correct?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Then, according to you, Mr. Gray got out, spoke to them,
12 got back in, and then you guys drive a few blocks down the
13 road?

14 A. Uh, not even a few blocks. Probably a block, half a
15 block, something like that.

16 Q. The first place where you stopped, was that in a
17 residential neighborhood or somewhere else?

18 A. Yes, it was in a residential neighborhood.

19 Q. Where you drove approximately a block was also in a
20 residential neighborhood, yes?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. I think if I understood it correctly, where the shooting
23 took place, that block down the road, you guys were in front
24 of the Volkswagen; is that right?

25 A. Right.

1 Q. Parked along the street?

2 A. Right.

3 Q. In front of a residential home?

4 A. Yeah.

5 Q. Yes?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Sorry, I just have trouble hearing you.

8 And both of you get out of the vehicle and meet with
9 the two individuals that are in this Volkswagen, the two
10 people who get shot, right?

11 A. Right, yes.

12 Q. You're standing on the sidewalk?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. In front of the residential home?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. That's, then, when, according to you, Mr. Gray shoots the
17 two people, right?

18 A. Right.

19 Q. And then you leave?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. All that happens right there on the sidewalk in front of
22 the front yard of some residential house?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. To the best of your knowledge, Kenneth Johnson didn't know
25 that those homicides were going to occur?

1 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Calls for speculation.

2 MR. VILLA: I think it's to the best of his
3 knowledge.

4 THE COURT: Right. Overruled.

5 MS. STOKMAN: Lay the foundation. Objection on
6 foundation.

7 THE COURT: Foundation, sustained.

8 BY MR. VILLA:

9 Q. Nobody told you before the homicides occurred that
10 Kenneth Johnson knew those homicides were going to occur,
11 correct?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. Nobody told you that he wanted those homicides to occur,
14 correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Or that they were being done at his request or on his
17 orders?

18 A. Correct.

19 MR. VILLA: May I have just a moment, Your Honor?

20 THE COURT: Yes.

21 BY MR. VILLA:

22 Q. So essentially, from everything you were told about these
23 homicides, you don't have knowledge that Mr. Johnson was
24 involved in the homicides?

25 A. Can you repeat the first part?

1 Q. Essentially, from everything you know or were told about
2 these homicides, in the lead-up to them being committed,
3 you -- Mr. Johnson didn't have any knowledge that the
4 homicides were going to be committed?

5 A. Right, to my knowledge.

6 MS. STOKMAN: Objection.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 Go ahead.

9 BY MR. VILLA:

10 Q. You said, after the homicides occurred, you went to
11 San Pedro where Mr. Gray's boyfriend -- mom's boyfriend lived?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So you didn't go to a hotel room that night after the
14 homicides were committed?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Did you ever have a meeting shortly after the homicides
17 were committed with an individual by the name of
18 James Whelchel or "Whelchel."

19 A. Maybe a week later. I -- you say a meeting about the
20 homicides?

21 Q. Well, just did you meet with him first?

22 A. Yeah.

23 Q. Step one?

24 A. Yeah, yes.

25 Q. And you met with him, it's a week later?

1 A. Yeah, roughly, a week.

2 Q. Was Mr. Gray with you?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. When you met with him, did you discuss with him the Lomita
5 homicides?

6 A. Whelchel?

7 Q. Yes.

8 A. No.

9 Q. You didn't talk him about that at all?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Were the Lomita homicides discussed to Mr. Whelchel in
12 your presence at that meeting?

13 A. No.

14 MR. VILLA: Just one more minute, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Sure.

16 MR. VILLA: That is all the questions I have.

17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Ms. Barrett.

18 CROSS-EXAMINATION

19 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

20 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bannick.

21 A. Good afternoon.

22 Q. Uh, in your direct examination the government asked you
23 some questions about your criminal history. Do you remember
24 that?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And they -- the government also asked you about your
2 record as a minor; is that correct?

3 A. Correct, yes.

4 Q. And you -- you told us then that you were basically
5 arrested for drugs and truancy during that time; is that
6 right?

7 A. Right.

8 Q. Do you -- were you ever arrested for assault or battery
9 during that particular time?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. How about obstruction of a police officer?

12 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Improper impeachment about
13 arrests.

14 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Your Honor, the door -- the
15 government opened the door on this --

16 THE COURT: Right.

17 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: -- on direct.

18 THE COURT: Objection is overruled. Go ahead.

19 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

20 Q. How about obstruction of a police officer?

21 A. As a juvenile?

22 Q. Yeah. In -- how about in December of 2006, were you still
23 a juvenile then?

24 A. Yes, I would have been a juvenile then.

25 Yeah, it could have been.

1 Q. Okay. You don't -- you don't remember offhand right now?

2 A. Right.

3 Q. And during your -- what year did you turn an adult?

4 A. 2007.

5 Q. 2007?

6 And during 2007, 2008 you were arrested for -- and --
7 and were actually convicted of a couple of burglaries; is that
8 right?

9 A. Just one.

10 Q. One. How about the one that -- in June of 2007, was that
11 a burglary?

12 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. If this is a conviction, I
13 mean, it's unclear.

14 THE COURT: Ms. Barrett, is there a -- a conviction
15 that you were talking about?

16 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Yes. He received 365 days.

17 THE COURT: Overruled.

18 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

19 Q. Do you remember that?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And you also committed theft, and what was that about?

22 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Improper impeachment.

23 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

24 Q. You were convicted of theft. What did you steal?

25 MS. STOKMAN: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: Uh --

2 THE COURT: Sustained.

3 THE WITNESS: If I --

4 THE COURT: One second, sir.

5 Next question.

6 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

7 Q. Was the burglary of a house, a car or --

8 MS. STOKMAN: Objection --

9 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

10 Q. -- what?

11 A. A house.

12 THE COURT: Yeah. Overruled.

13 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

14 Q. In May of 2009, you were -- you went to prison for the
15 first time; is that right? You committed a crime that lead to
16 you going to prison for the first time; correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. And you were ultimately sentenced to four years; is that
19 right?

20 A. Right.

21 Q. And was that an armed robbery?

22 A. No.

23 Q. You were unarmed?

24 A. I was unarmed, yes.

25 Q. And you were eventually released from prison before that

1 four years lapsed, so I assume you got released on parole.

2 But you violated the parole, did you not?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And during the course of the -- did you go to prison on
5 the parole violation?

6 A. No, county jail.

7 Q. Okay. How much time did you get in the county jail?

8 MS. STOKMAN: Objection.

9 THE COURT: Sustained.

10 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

11 Q. Were you convicted, once you got out, of fictitious
12 checks?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And firearm violations; is that right?

15 A. Several of them.

16 Q. Several firearm violations. And CDS for sale, several of
17 them; is that right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And fraud, was that EDD fraud?

20 A. No. No.

21 Q. Was just an identification fraud? You stole somebody
22 else's ID?

23 A. I don't remember the exact circumstances of it, but yeah,
24 some sort of other fraud, not EDD.

25 Q. And you were also charged, I think, in 2020, in

1 Redondo Beach in San Bernardino. Were you convicted and
2 sentenced to time with those?

3 A. The case out of San Bernardino was ultimately dismissed
4 for time restraint reasons.

5 Q. Okay. But the other felon in possession case?

6 A. Uh, that also -- from Redondo Beach, the firearm?

7 Q. Yeah.

8 A. That was dismissed as well, I believe.

9 Q. So but you were, during this course of time, carrying a
10 weapon almost all the time, right?

11 A. Right.

12 Q. And after you were then eventually paroled after your last
13 sentence, then you, once again, got arrested and ended up
14 going to prison again for another firearm's offense; is that
15 right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And what about while in prison, did you engage in any
18 other acts of violence?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. What kinds of acts of violence in prison?

21 A. Assaults. Assaults. Yeah, mainly battery -- assault and
22 battery on a prisoner, and fighting, mutual combat-type
23 things.

24 Q. Any stabbings?

25 A. I never was caught for any stabbings, no.

1 Q. Never caught?

2 A. Right.

3 Q. But actually committed some stabbings that you weren't
4 caught for?

5 A. Yeah, I've committing a stabbing in prison. Yeah.

6 Q. And do you recall an incident where you actually shot a
7 man in Redondo Beach?

8 A. Yes.

9 MS. STOKMAN: Objection.

10 THE COURT: A legal basis?

11 MS. STOKMAN: Improper impeachment.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

14 Q. Were you ever charged with that offense?

15 A. No.

16 Q. And do you know whether or not the person lived or died?

17 A. Yes, he lived.

18 Q. He lived?

19 A. Uh-huh.

20 Q. Was he seriously injured?

21 A. I don't think so.

22 Q. How many times did you shoot him?

23 A. Twice.

24 Q. Now, you were charged in this case, correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And over the course of your time in this case, you had the
2 opportunity to review statements of other potential witnesses
3 in the case; did you not?

4 A. Yes, I did.

5 Q. And how many times did you meet with the government -- I
6 know you met with them before you signed your cooperation
7 agreement. How many times after you signed your cooperation
8 agreement had you met with the government?

9 A. Once.

10 Q. When was that?

11 A. Uh, last week, I believe. Or just, yeah, over the
12 weekend.

13 Q. And did they play videos for you, the videos that you
14 looked at today?

15 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Relevance.

16 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: He identified the videos. I
17 want to know whether he saw them before.

18 THE COURT: Which is why I overruled.

19 Next question.

20 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Oh, I didn't hear the
21 overrule. I'm sorry, Judge.

22 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

23 Q. Did they play videos for you?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And did they -- were you shown photographs that you've

1 been shown here today?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And so this is not the first time that you've heard the
4 questions that Ms. Stokman asked you to today; is that right?

5 A. Right.

6 Q. You had a rehearsal?

7 A. I don't know if you call it that, but --

8 Q. All right. Maybe not a rehearsal, but you went over those
9 questions.

10 And you had lawyers who met with you during that
11 period of time; is that right?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And, in fact, they are sitting in the courtroom behind me,
14 right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Before your cooperation agreement and after you had access
17 to that -- did the statements you had access to include Rage
18 and Suspect?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Now, I just want to go over your cooperation agreement
21 with you, briefly. First of all, you know that a life
22 sentence in federal prison means no possibility of release,
23 correct?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. And as a result of your cooperation, the government has

1 said that if you did cooperate and gave them substantial
2 assistance in prosecuting other people, that they would make a
3 motion so that the judge could impose a sentence less than
4 life; is that right?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And in addition to that, the government is going to file
7 an additional motion to ask the judge to give you a sentence
8 lower than would normally occur; is that right?

9 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Calls for speculation.

10 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't -- I don't know about
11 that.

12 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

13 Q. Well, do you expect to get a sentence that is lower than a
14 life sentence when you are sentenced?

15 A. Yes, I hope so.

16 Q. And do you expect the government to advocate on your
17 behalf to get you a lower sentence?

18 A. I wasn't expecting that. I don't know.

19 Q. Well, what did they promise you in the cooperation
20 agreement?

21 A. They didn't promise me anything, except that I -- I could
22 possibly get a time reduction. Yeah.

23 Q. And they said that you could get a time reduction after
24 you were sentenced in this case; is that right?

25 A. Right.

1 Q. So you have the life sentence no longer in play, correct?
2 You have a go-home date to start with, you get sentenced in
3 this case with the government advocating for you, and then
4 after that sentence you could get an even lower sentence; is
5 that right?

6 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Compound. Calls for
7 speculation.

8 THE COURT: Sir, do you understand that question?
9 There was a lot in there, but did you understand it?

10 THE WITNESS: No, not after the -- or, yeah, I -- I
11 don't get the part where you're saying --

12 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: It's too many. I get it.

13 THE WITNESS: -- I have a release date.

14 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Right.

15 THE COURT: So let's have her ask you a different
16 question.

17 The objection is sustained.

18 BY MS. DE SALES BARRETT:

19 Q. If you were sentenced in this case and you provide
20 cooperation to the government, will the government file a
21 motion for you to get less time?

22 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Calls for speculation.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

24 THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe so.

25 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Thank you very much.

1 THE COURT: Redirect?

2 MS. STOKMAN: Just briefly.

3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

4 BY MS. STOKMAN:

5 Q. You were asked about assaults that you committed in
6 prison, including some stabbings. Were those assaults that
7 you --

8 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Leading. Objection.

9 THE COURT: Overruled. I need to hear the entire
10 question. I think she laid just a little background, and now
11 we're going to the questions. I need to hear the question.

12 BY MS. STOKMAN:

13 Q. Did you commit those assaults on your own, like, decision?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Why did you commit --

16 A. Well, I mean, I suppose I did them on my decision, but it
17 was ordered to be done by somebody else.

18 Q. And who ordered, what type of person, or where did those
19 orders come from?

20 A. The person who had the key to the yard at that time.

21 Q. Did that person, as far as you know, have any affiliation
22 with the AB?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. They did?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. The person that you shot that Ms. Barrett was asking about
2 you shooting, was there a reason why you shot that person, as
3 far as what type of person that was?

4 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Overruled.

6 THE WITNESS: No.

7 BY MS. STOKMAN:

8 Q. Uh, why did you -- what type of person was it that you
9 shot?

10 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection. Asked and
11 answered.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 THE WITNESS: The person was a registered child
14 molester.

15 BY MS. STOKMAN:

16 Q. And are there --

17 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Foundation.

18 THE COURT: Sustained.

19 MS. STOKMAN:

20 Q. How did you know -- let me ask you this: You said you're
21 aware of the AB rules and codes of conduct. Is there an
22 expectation among the AB about committing murder against
23 certain types of individuals?

24 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection.

25 THE COURT: I need to hear the question first. Is

1 the question finished?

2 MS. STOKMAN: Yes.

3 THE COURT: Your objection is what, Ms. Barrett?

4 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Leading.

5 MR. VILLA: Outside the scope.

6 THE COURT: Overruled.

7 Go ahead.

8 BY MS. STOKMAN:

9 Q. Are there -- is there an expectation about killing certain
10 types of individuals?

11 A. Well, yes. A sex offender or a child molester definitely
12 would fall in the category of people who would be targeted for
13 violence, yes.

14 Q. And were you aware of whether or not this individual you
15 shot fell into such a category?

16 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection. Foundation.

17 MS. STOKMAN: That's a "yes" or a "no" at this point.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 BY MS. STOKMAN:

20 Q. You can answer "yes" or "no."

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And how did you know that?

23 A. I looked that person's name up on Megan's Law -- Megan's
24 Law.

25 Q. Okay. And so what did you believe this type of person was

1 that you shot?

2 A. He was a child molester.

3 Q. Have you ever testified in court before?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Have you ever testified against AB members before?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Did the thought of testifying cause you to feel a certain
8 way before you came into court today?

9 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection, Your Honor. Asked
10 and answered on direct.

11 THE COURT: Overruled.

12 BY MS. STOKMAN:

13 Q. Go ahead. You can answer.

14 A. Yes. It --

15 Q. What was that?

16 A. It made me very uneasy. Uh, it's been -- it's a hard
17 thing. It's extremely hard.

18 Q. And when you were asked about the questions when you met
19 with the government, questions that you would be asked today,
20 do you know what the purpose of that session was for you?

21 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Objection, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Sustained.

23 BY MS. STOKMAN:

24 Q. So let me ask you this: Has anyone ever told you what
25 your testimony should be?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Has reading any statements made by other people involved
3 in this case dictated what your testimony is here today?

4 A. No.

5 Q. What is your understanding about your obligation to tell
6 the truth?

7 A. That that's -- this is the only way that it will work,
8 that -- I mean, everything would be for not if I were to --
9 you know, I would just discredit myself. And that's also just
10 not why I chose to do this.

11 Q. So when we talk about the truth, what does the truth mean
12 to you? Whose truth is it?

13 A. My own truth.

14 MS. STOKMAN: I have no further questions.

15 THE COURT: Recross?

16 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. REED:

18 Q. Mr. Bannick, is it a true statement that you were a
19 criminal before you ever met anybody in the AB?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. You were committing crimes as a child, right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. You were committing crimes as an adolescent, correct?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Okay. And you were going in and out of county jail for a

1 while, correct?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. Okay. There's a certain lifestyle, certain set of rules
4 that go along with being a criminal; fair statement?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Okay. One of the things, irrespective of color,
7 irrespective of race, how does everybody in jail feel about
8 child molesters?

9 A. It's -- they're the lowest you could possibly be.

10 Q. Did somebody from the AB have to tell you to go do
11 something to a child molester?

12 A. No.

13 Q. That was you, right?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Because of the life you chose; is that a fair statement?

16 A. I mean, just -- that's how I feel.

17 Q. Because of the life you chose?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Now, you would agree now, sitting behind the witness
20 stand, that killing somebody just because they committed a
21 crime, or shooting somebody, or beating them up because they
22 committed a crime, as you're sitting right now, you today, new
23 man, new mind, you would agree that's probably not the way to
24 handle things?

25 A. Right, yes.

1 Q. Or are you saying, Me right now, on the 4th of February,
2 2025, I'd still do that if I had the chance?

3 A. No, I would not.

4 Q. All right. Now, you're going probably -- I don't know how
5 long, but you are probably not going to wear street clothes
6 for a significant amount of time, would you agree?

7 A. I'm not going to what?

8 Q. Street clothes, you're not going to wear a suit; you're
9 not going to wear a tie.

10 A. Right, right.

11 Q. You're going to dress kind of like you are, depending on
12 what prison you're at; fair statement?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Whatever kind of yard you're in, you're still going to be
15 in prison; is that correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And I don't know where you are now. I don't really care.
18 But you know there are places that people go who have
19 cooperated?

20 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Relevance.

21 MR. REED: I'm getting there.

22 THE COURT: All right. Overruled.

23 Go ahead.

24 BY MR. REED:

25 Q. There are rules to prison. Would you agree?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Have you ever heard of anybody ever going to prison and
3 there were no rules?

4 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Calls for speculation.

5 THE COURT: Sustained.

6 BY MR. REED:

7 Q. I forget the name of the person that you shot, but you did
8 that -- well, let me back up a second. I'm assuming that you
9 did that on the street; is that right?

10 A. Right.

11 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Withdrawn.

12 BY MR. REED:

13 Q. Okay. So there was nobody there to force you to pull that
14 trigger; is that correct?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. You pulled that gun yourself? It was an automatic?

17 A. Yup.

18 Q. Okay. You racked the slide yourself; is that correct?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. At the time you pulled that trigger three times, you did
21 that yourself; is that correct?

22 A. Two times.

23 Q. Okay. Forget the third. Two times.

24 You, Brandon Bannick, same guy who committed the
25 crimes leading up to that point, the same guy who went in and

1 out of county jail -- let me back up a second. Went in and
2 out of juvenile hall to YA, went in and out of county jail,
3 and ultimately got himself into prison, right?

4 A. Right.

5 Q. You did that?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay. And you chose to follow that particular lifestyle.
8 Nobody forced you to kill someone, would you agree?

9 A. Yes. To an extent, I would -- I would disagree.

10 Q. Okay. Well, the reason I can say that, and correct me if
11 I'm wrong, you had made a choice a couple of weeks ago to not
12 do things like that, i.e., you're in the witness stand. You
13 could have done that years ago and you did not.

14 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Argumentative.

15 BY MR. REED:

16 Q. Could you have done that years ago and chose not to do it?

17 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Relevance.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 THE WITNESS: Chose to get on the witness stand?

20 BY MR. REED:

21 Q. Chose not to do what you were doing before.

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. You chose that life and not whatever it is that you're
24 doing now, correct?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. But in your statement now is that you only did
2 those things because someone told you to; is that right?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. You never spoke to John Stinson; is that also correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And the friend that you knew that knew John Stinson, that
7 person is dead now; is that correct?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And he killed himself in Costa Rica?

10 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Relevance.

11 THE COURT: Sustained.

12 BY MR. REED:

13 Q. That person is dead now, correct?

14 MS. STOKMAN: Asked and answered.

15 BY MR. REED:

16 Q. No one, that you know of, killed him; is that correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Do you have any idea or any reason to believe that someone
19 in the AB killed that guy?

20 MS. STOKMAN: Objection. Calls for speculation.

21 Relevance.

22 THE COURT: Sustained.

23 MR. REED: I have no further questions. Thank you.

24 THE COURT: Any further recross? Redirect?

25 MS. STOKMAN: Nothing further.

1 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, if I
2 can ask you to step out for about ten minutes, and we'll get
3 right back to you.

4 (Jury exits the courtroom at 1:08 p.m.)

5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Bannick.
6 You're excused.

7 Is there another witness?

8 MS. STOKMAN: Yes. We would like to put the other
9 witness back on the stand for cross, but he -- so that he can
10 try to get out of here today.

11 THE COURT: Well, Ms. Barrett is not prepared to do
12 that.

13 Mr. Reed or for Mr. Johnson, are you-all prepared to
14 do your cross of Mr. Rapinoe?

15 MS. LUEM: No.

16 MR. REED: No.

17 THE COURT: He has to come back tomorrow. I guess
18 there's no point.

19 MS. STOKMAN: Judge, he can't be back tomorrow, and
20 he's coming from eight hours away, and he was here Friday and
21 had to come back today. That's why we're trying to get him
22 back on the stand so that he doesn't have to make the drive
23 and then come back another day.

24 THE COURT: Representation from counsel is that they
25 are not prepared to proceed, which, I'll be honest, I don't

1 understand, because this document, Ms. Luem pulled it up on
2 her cell phone, apparently. So I don't know why this is such
3 a stumbling block, but are we -- but they are not prepared to
4 proceed.

5 So, unfortunately, he's going to have to come back.

6 When can he come back?

7 MS. STOKMAN: I'm not sure, Judge. We'll have to
8 figure out when that is. And then the schedule, just have to
9 wait for him. If that's the case for today, then we have no
10 further witnesses.

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 Let's go ahead and call the jury back in and we'll
13 excuse them. Well, let's wait for Mr. Clement to come back.

14 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: Your Honor, just wondering
15 what happened to Ms. Garza.

16 THE COURT: I wouldn't know that. I guess she left.

17 MS. DE SALES BARRETT: No. She was going to get the
18 social security number.

19 THE COURT: I did not understand that's what she was
20 going to do. I know you said, Could you get it? She said,
21 yeah, she could, but I didn't understand that you wanted her
22 back today. And not only that, you're going to have to bring
23 her back. There was never a request that she come back today.
24 We can look at the record, if I'm wrong.

25 MR. CONOLLY: Your Honor, the Court's recollection

1 matches the government's.

2 THE COURT: Let's call the jury back in.

3 (Jury enters the courtroom at 1:12 p.m.)

4 THE COURT: All right. Great. We have all our jury
5 members back.

6 I'm sorry to send you out just to bring you back to
7 tell you we're finished for today.

8 Yeah, so it's good and bad news. If -- overnight, if
9 you would please not form any opinions about this case, please
10 don't discuss this case or allow anyone to discuss it with
11 you, and please don't do any independent research on your own,
12 please don't consult any media or allow yourself to be exposed
13 to any media. Otherwise, I will tell you that we are moving
14 much faster than we thought. We are going to be done much
15 faster than we thought, so I do appreciate all of your
16 attention over the last couple of weeks. Thank you very much.
17 Have a good evening.

18 (Jury exits the courtroom at 1:13 p.m.)

19 THE COURT: Anything for the record at this time?

20 MS. FISHER-BYRIALSEN: We would just like to know the
21 witnesses for tomorrow.

22 THE COURT: All right. So you can do that after.
23 Anything else, then, for today?

24 MS. STOKMAN: Nothing from the government.

25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

1 (Proceedings were adjourned at 1:14 p.m.)
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

I, RACHAEL LUNDY, Official Reporter, do hereby certify the
foregoing transcript as true and correct.

9
10 Dated: February 4, 2025

/s/ Rachael Lundy
RACHAEL LUNDY, CSR-RMR
CSR No. 13815

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25